# REVIEW

## **Open Access**

## Check for updates

# Global prevalence of nocturnal enuresis and associated factors among children and adolescents: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Molalign Aligaz Adisu<sup>1\*</sup>, Tesfaye Engdaw Habtie<sup>2</sup>, Melesse Abiye Munie<sup>2</sup>, Molla Azmeraw Bizuayehu<sup>1</sup>, Alemu Birara Zemariam<sup>1</sup> and Yabibal Asfaw Derso<sup>2</sup>

## Abstract

**Background** Nocturnal enuresis (NE), a prevalent childhood condition associated with significant emotional morbidity, including anxiety, guilt, and diminished self-esteem. Notably, NE exhibits substantial variability in prevalence across diverse geographical and sociocultural contexts ranging from 2 to 75%, highlighting the influence of environmental and societal factors. The associated social stigma exacerbates emotional distress, negatively impacting self-perception and overall quality of life. This systematic review and meta-analysis seek to synthesize global epidemiological data on NE, accounting for inter-country prevalence variations, and to elucidate its associated factors, thereby informing the development of culturally sensitive and effective intervention strategies.

**Methods** All observational quantitative research articles conducted among children and adolescents in the world were included. We used PubMed Central, Cochrane Library, Scopus, and Google Scholar searching databases. The study quality was checked using the Newcastle - Ottawa Scale. Then I<sup>2</sup> statistics and Cochran's Q test were used to evaluate heterogeneity. Funnel, Egger's test, and non-parametric trim and fill effect tests were used to check publication bias by using a random effect model. Finally, subgroup analysis was done to evaluate statistical heterogeneity, and sensitivity analysis was also done to detect the presence or absence of any influential study.

**Results** In the final analysis, one hundred twenty-eight studies involving 445,242 individuals in 39 countries. The overall pooled prevalence of Nocturnal enuresis among children and adolescents was 7.2% (95% CI: 6.2-8.1%). Positive family history AOR 1.49 (95% CI: 1.26–1.71), positive urinary tract infection AOR; 3.89, 95% CI (2.93–4.46), parental death AOR = 1.93 (95% CI: 1.73–2.12), first birth order AOR 0.5 (95% CI: 0.37–0.62), and male sex AOR 1.63; 95% CI (1.31–1.94 were the significant associated factors with Nocturnal enuresis among children and adolescent.

**Conclusion** The study found that nocturnal enuresis affects approximately 7.2% of children and adolescents. Family history, urinary tract infection, parental death, birth order, and sex were statistically significant factors. It is recommended that healthcare providers should implement routine screening for nocturnal enuresis, particularly for

\*Correspondence: Molalign Aligaz Adisu molalignaligaz@gmail.com

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2025. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicate dot events in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Page 2 of 29

children with known risk factors such as family history and urinary tract infections, and the development of targeted interventions and support mechanisms should be prioritized, considering the significant impacts of these factors among children and adolescents.

Keywords Nocturnal enuresis, Global, Prevalence, Children, Adolescents, Meta-analysis

## Introduction

Nocturnal enuresis (NE), or bedwetting, is defined by the DSM-5 as the involuntary loss of urine during sleep in children aged five and older; occurring at a developmental stage where bladder control is expected. Classified as an elimination disorder, NE is diagnosed when this behavior occurs at least twice a month and is notattributed to a medical condition or substance effects. NE is categorized into primary nocturnal enuresis, where children have never achieved consistent nighttime dryness, and secondary nocturnal enuresis, which occurs after a period of dryness lasting six months or more [1, 2].

Additionally, NE can be further classified based on associated symptoms; monosymptomatic nocturnal enuresis occurs without urological symptoms or daytime incontinence, while polysymptomatic nocturnal enuresis is linked to daytime bladder dysfunction such as urgency, frequency, or incontinence. Children with monosymptomatic NE may also experience psychosocial issues, including oppositional defiant disorder (ODD) or attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). Understanding these classifications and underlying factors is essential for developing tailored therapeutic interventions for affected children [3, 4].

Globally, the prevalence of nocturnal enuresis varies considerably, influenced by factors such as age, gender, and cultural background. Research indicates that approximately 10-20% of children aged 5 years' experience NE, with rates declining as children grow older. By adolescence, the prevalence drops to about 1-3% [5, 6]. Variations in prevalence rates can be attributed to differences in study designs, definitions of enuresis, and cultural perceptions surrounding the condition. Some studies suggest that boys are more likely to be affected than girls, with boys exhibiting higher rates of enuresis, particularly in younger age groups [6].

The consequences of nocturnal enuresis extend beyond the physical symptoms. Children who experience bedwetting may suffer from significant emotional distress, leading to low self-esteem and social withdrawal. Parents often report feelings of frustration and helplessness, which can strain family dynamics. The stigma associated with bedwetting can result in bullying and social exclusion, further exacerbating the psychological impact on affected children. As awareness of mental health issues grows, it is crucial to recognize the emotional and social ramifications of nocturnal enuresis and address them as part of a comprehensive treatment plan [7].

Understanding the factors that contribute to nocturnal enuresis is essential for effective management. Numerous studies have identified a range of associated factors, including genetic predispositions, developmental delays, vitamin D status, and psychological issues. Vitamin D receptors are present in detrusor muscle and urothelium of bladder. Vitamin D decrease detrusor contractions by suppressing sensory signals during filling phase. The vitamin D deficiency can increase uninhibited bladder contraction. A study defined that the vitamin D value was lower in Primary MNE children. It seems that vitamin D status was risk factor for development of PMNE [8]. Family history plays a significant role, with children having a higher risk of bedwetting if one or both parents experienced the condition during childhood [9, 10]. Psychological factors, such as stress, anxiety, and emotional disturbances, can also influence the onset and persistence of nocturnal enuresis [11]. Additionally, physiological factors, including bladder capacity and sleep disorders, have been implicated in the condition [12]. Another common and important disease in such children is functional Constipation which can be seen simultaneously with incontinency that it causes more anxiety in child and parents [13].

Cultural attitudes towards bedwetting can greatly influence how families perceive and manage NE. In some cultures, bedwetting is seen as a normal part of childhood, while in others, it may carry a significant stigma. This cultural context can affect the willingness of families to seek medical help and the types of interventions pursued. Treatment options for nocturnal enuresis vary widely, ranging from behavioral techniques and parental education to pharmacological interventions [14]. Recent advances in understanding the underlying mechanisms of enuresis have led to more effective treatment modalities, yet many children still go untreated.

Nocturnal enuresis is a hidden public health concern that warrants greater attention from healthcare professionals, educators, and society as a whole. The complex interplay of genetic, psychological, and physiological factors contributes to the prevalence of this condition among children and adolescents. By increasing awareness and understanding of nocturnal enuresis, we can improve the quality of life for affected children and their families [15]. This systematic review and meta-analysis aim to provide a comprehensive overview of the global prevalence of nocturnal enuresis and its associated factors, ultimately contributing to more effective management strategies and interventions.

## Methods

## Study design and reporting

This systematic review and meta-analysis were performed to determine the pooled prevalence of Nocturnal enuresis and its associated factors among children and adolescents in the world. The study was conducted based on the preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses (PRISMA) guidelines recommendation (Fig. 1). This systematic review and metaanalysis had been registered (CRD42024603498) on the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO) protocol.

## Inclusion and exclusion criteria

This study includes all original research articles conducted elsewhere in the world that fulfilled the inclusion criteria. We included studies conducted among children and adolescents aged 5 to 18, published in English, used observational studies including longitudinal cohort, cross-sectional, and case-control studies, and were available in electronic resources regardless of the year of publication. In this systematic review and meta-analysis, articles that had no clearly stated outcome variable or no information on the practice outcome, low quality, and studies difficult to extract necessary information due to no full-text access were excluded.



Fig. 1 PRISMA flow diagram to illustrate the study selection process

## Search strategy and source of information

The search strategy was formulated using an adapted "PEOS" framework (population, exposure, outcomes, study design, and setting) to create the MeSH terms needed to identify relevant studies in the database, as outlined below:

Population: Children and adolescents.

*Exposure*: Nocturnal enuresis, bedwetting, sleeping disorders, nighttime urinary incontinence.

*Outcome*: Prevalence, incidence, epidemiology; associated factors, predictors, barriers, or determinants.

Study design: Observational studies.

*Setting (context)*: Worldwide.

To identify relevant primary studies, we developed the following review questions based on the above format:

What is the global prevalence of nocturnal enuresis among children and adolescents? What associated factors contribute to nocturnal enuresis or bedwetting in children and adolescents?

Then, primary studies were searched using PubMed Central, Cochrane Library, Web of Science, Scopus, and Google Scholar searching databases. The search was conducted in electronic databases using the following freetext terms and Boolean operator strings: ("Nocturnal enuresis" OR "bedwetting" OR "sleeping disorders" OR "nighttime urinary incontinence") AND ("children" OR "adolescents") AND ("prevalence" OR "incidence" OR "epidemiology") AND ("associated factors" OR "predictors" OR "barriers" OR "determinants"). After searching for accessible articles, all the retrieved articles were sorted, and the duplications were removed.

## Study selection and quality assessment

Three investigators, MAA, TEH, and YAD screened the studies by reviewing titles and abstracts to identify potentially relevant studies before full-text retrieval. They contacted the corresponding authors for clarification when further information was needed to assess eligibility. Any discrepancies between the investigators were resolved through thorough discussion to ensure consensus on inclusion or exclusion. Additionally, all retrieved articles were imported into EndNote version 20, where duplicate files were removed. The investigators then independently screened the articles based on their objectives and designs, reviewing titles, abstracts, and full texts to identify eligible studies according to predetermined inclusion criteria, after which the selected articles were compiled by both reviewers.

To ensure the quality of each study, we employed a modified Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) evaluated by three independent reviewers. Reviewers conducted a thorough assessment f each article that met the inclusion criteria and aligned with the study objectives. Any discrepancies between the reviewers were addressed through discussion and adjudication of a third reviewer. The assessment criteria encompassed several key domains: representativeness of the sample, adequacy of sample size, response rates and characteristics of responders versus non-responders, the quality of measurement tools used for exposure or risk factor ascertainment, comparability of outcome groups based on study design, control of important confounding variables, outcome assessment, and the application of statistical tests. Each study was scored out of a total of 7 points, with a score exceeding 4 indicating a low risk of bias for inclusion. All studies included in the analysis demonstrated a low risk of bias, achieving scores of 4 to 7.

### **Data extraction**

The data extraction form was developed using a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet. Two independent reviewers (MAA and TEH) systematically extracted data from full-text articles. This process involved utilizing the data extraction form, which encompassed essential variables such as the name of the first author, year of publication, country of study, study design, sample size, age of the participants, sample distribution by sex, assessment criteria, types of nocturnal enuresis (monosymptomatic vs. polysymptomatic, primary vs. secondary), as well as prevalence and the number of cases reported. For each primary outcome, we recorded the prevalence, while adjusted odds ratios for each associated factor (secondary outcome) were noted, accompanied by 95% confidence intervals. Any discrepancies between the data extractors were addressed through discussion and consensus with another author.

## Statistical analysis

After extracting the data, we performed a meta-analysis using STATA version 17. Prevalence estimates were calculated alongside their corresponding standard errors (SE), derived from the formulas p = r/n and  $SE = \sqrt{p} (1-p)/n$ , where p represents the proportion, r is the total number of children and adolescents with Nocturnal enuresis, and n is the sample size. The meta-analysis results are presented as the pooled prevalence of Nocturnal enuresis, accompanied by 95% confidence intervals. A significance threshold was set at p-values less than 0.05.

We also examined the factors associated with Nocturnal enuresis using STATA version 17. A random effects model was employed to assess significant heterogeneity among the studies. Heterogeneity was assessed using the I<sup>2</sup> index and Cochran's Q test. The I<sup>2</sup> statistic, which ranges from 0 to 100%, indicates the degree of heterogeneity, with 0% signifying no heterogeneity and 100% indicating considerable heterogeneity. I<sup>2</sup> values below 25% suggest low heterogeneity, values between 25% and 50% indicate moderate heterogeneity, and values exceeding 75% reflect high heterogeneity.

To minimize random variations across studies, we conducted subgroup analyses to evaluate the prevalence of nocturnal enuresis among children and adolescents by year of publication, and continent. The impact of individual studies on the overall prevalence estimate was assessed through a sensitivity analysis. Finally, Publication bias was assessed using funnel plots for symmetry Egger's test and non-parametric trim and fill tests. While both methods were employed, Egger's test is generally more reliable for detecting publication bias, as it presents the actual effect sizes and their precision. In contrast, the funnel plot provides a more subjective view of potential asymmetry.

## Results

## Literature search

This systematic review and meta-analysis followed the PRISMA guidelines. The authors searched PubMed Central, Web of Science, Cochrane, and Google Scholar, identifying 1499 papers on Nocturnal enuresis among children and adolescents and its associated factors. After removing duplicates, 1376 papers were screened. Of these, 562 papers were excluded based on their titles, and 639 were discarded after abstract review. Ultimately, 128 studies met the inclusion criteria and were included in the final analysis.

## **Study characteristics**

The final analysis comprised 127 studies involving 445,242 individuals across 39 countries distributed over six continents. Specifically, the breakdown of studies by region is 76 studies were conducted in 16 Asian countries, 25 in 7 African countries, 20 in 11 European countries, 2 studies from 2 North American countries, and 2 studies were conducted in 1 South American country. Additionally, 2 studies were included from 2 Australian countries. Overall, 117 studies were cross-sectional, 7 were case-control, and 3 were cohort studies. Regarding the year of studies, 9 studies were conducted before 2000, 36 studies were conducted during the period from 2000 to 2009, 71 studies were conducted from 2010 to 2019, and 11 studies were conducted from 2020 to 2024. The median number of participants per study was 1133 (ranging from 100 to 130,000). Almost half (48.7%) of the participants were female. Among the 127 studies, 113 examined both types of nocturnal enuresis, 13 focused exclusively on the primary type, and 1 study specifically addressed the secondary type. One hundred nineteen studies have been conducted since the year 2000, while the remaining nine studies were completed before that year (Table 1).

## **Publication bias**

The publication bias was evaluated using both funnel plot analysis and Egger's regression test. The funnel plot revealed an asymmetric distribution, which intuitively suggests the presence of publication bias (Fig. 2). Additionally, Egger's regression test yielded a p-value of 0.000, providing statistical evidence of publication bias. This combination of subjective and objective analyses underscores the likelihood of bias in the published literature.

To address the issue of potentially missing studies arising from publication bias indicated by the funnel plot and Egger's test, we conducted a non-parametric trim and fill analysis to adjust the overall effect estimate. The analysis of publication bias through the nonparametric trim-andfill method identified a total of 179 studies, with 52 studies imputed due to potential bias. The observed effect size was 0.069 (95% CI: (0.061, 0.077)), while the adjusted effect size, after accounting for the imputed studies, was 0.064, which falls within the confidence interval of the observed effect size. This suggests that the imputed studies had little to no impact on the overall effect size.

## Pooled prevalence of nocturnal enuresis

The prevalence of Nocturnal enuresis among 127 individual studies ranged from 1.2–76.4%, with a pooled prevalence of 7.2% (95% CI: 6.2–8.1%), and there was no heterogeneity between the studies (Q = 90.12, P = 1.00,  $\tau^2 = 0.00$ ,  $I^2 = 0.00\%$  and H = 1.00) (Fig. 3). Among the studies that specified both sex and the type of nocturnal enuresis, 60% of the cases were male, while 71.6% were classified as primary type.

## Subgroup analysis

In the subgroup analysis of nocturnal enuresis (NE) using publication year, the pooled prevalence reported after 2019 indicates a higher rate of 0.11 (95% CI: 0.04–0.17, I<sup>2</sup> = 0.00%, p = 0.84). This contrasts with the pooled prevalence of 0.07 (95% CI: 0.06–0.08,  $I^2 = 0.00\%$ , p = 0.93) observed in studies from 2010 to 2019. Furthermore, studies from 2000 to 2009 reveal a lower prevalence of 0.06 (95% CI: 0.04–0.07,  $I^2 = 0.00\%$ , p = 0.98), while those conducted before 2000 report a prevalence of 0.10 (95% CI: 0.07–0.13,  $I^2 = 0.00\%$ , p = 0.99) (Fig. 4). In a further subgroup analysis based on the continent, the pooled prevalence of nocturnal enuresis (NE) among African studies is notably higher at 0.12 (95% CI: 0.08–0.15,  $I^2 =$ 0.00%, p = 1.00). This contrasts sharply with the pooled prevalence of 0.06 (95% CI: 0.00-0.07,  $I^2 = 0.00\%$ , p = 1.00) observed in studies from Asian countries. Additionally, studies from Europe report a prevalence of 0.08 (95% CI: 0.06–0.10,  $I^2 = 37.73\%$ , p = 0.05), while studies from Australia indicate a higher prevalence of 0.14 (95% CI: 0.02–0.26,  $I^2 = 0.00\%$ , p = 0.4). In North America, the prevalence stands at 0.08 (95% CI: 0.06–0.23,  $I^2$ 

| þ        |        |
|----------|--------|
| nor      |        |
| sar      |        |
| tor      |        |
| fac      |        |
| eq       |        |
| ciat     |        |
| SOC      |        |
| das      |        |
| anc      |        |
| SiS      |        |
| nre      |        |
| en       |        |
| na       |        |
| tur      |        |
| ğ        |        |
| ofı      |        |
| Ce       |        |
| len      |        |
| eva      |        |
| p        |        |
| ba       |        |
| <u> </u> |        |
| the      |        |
| of 1     |        |
| /SiS     |        |
| lal      |        |
| a-ar     |        |
| leta     |        |
| е<br>Ц   |        |
| ţ        |        |
| ⊒.       |        |
| Ide      |        |
| clu      |        |
| s in     |        |
| die      |        |
| stu      |        |
| en       |        |
| sev      |        |
| -tr      |        |
| ver      |        |
| dt       |        |
| dree     |        |
| nnc      |        |
| ے۔<br>رہ |        |
| NO       |        |
| ó        |        |
| yany     |        |
| μM       | Ś      |
| sur      | ent    |
| ive      | eso.   |
| ipt      |        |
| SCI      | d<br>a |
| ď        | anc    |
| е<br>Т   | ren    |
| <b>p</b> | ild    |
| Ц        | 5      |

| Author(year)                                     | Country   | Design | Sample<br>size | Age  | Male  | Female | Type (Primary/secondary) | Criteria | Prevalence | Quality<br>assess- |
|--|-----------|--------|----------------|------|-------|--------|--------------------------|----------|------------|--------------------|
|  |           |        |                |      |       |        |                          |          |            | ment<br>score      |
| Abdulaziz Alamri et al. (2017) [16]              | SA        | CS     | 555            | 5-15 | 348   | 247    | Both                     | ICD-10   | 24%        | 2/7                |
| Abdul-Kareem M Ali (2009) [17]                   | Iraqi     | S      | 1000           | 6-12 | 488   | 512    | Both                     | ICD-10   | 22%        | 7/7                |
| Abdullah Alshahrani et al. (2017) [18]           | SA        | CS     | 352            | 5-12 | 221   | 131    | Both                     | ICD-10   | 18.50%     | 6/7                |
| Ahlam Ismail et al. (2012) [19]                  | Egypt     | CS     | 9340           | 6-12 | 5239  | 5341   | Primary                  | DSM-IV   | 10.13%     | <i>L/L</i>         |
| Ahmed Hamed et al. (2016) [20]                   | Egypt     | CS     | 4652           | 6-12 | 2331  | 2321   | Both                     | ICD-10   | 18%        | <i>L/T</i>         |
| Alaa H. Abed et al. (2009) [21]                  | Iraqi     | CS     | 942            | 5-15 | 516   | 426    | Both                     | ICD-10   | 24%        | <i>L/T</i>         |
| Ali Gunes et al. (2009) [22]                     | Turkey    | CS     | 562            | 6-16 | 413   | 149    | Both                     | ICD-10   | 14.90%     | <i>L/T</i>         |
| Mahmoud E. Abu Salem et al. (2016) [23]          | Egypt     | NCC    | 325            | 6-12 | 188   | 137    | Both                     | DSM-IV   | 15.40%     | 6/7                |
| Afshin Azhir et al. (2006) [24]                  | Iran      | CS     | 3103           | 6-12 | 1524  | 1579   | Both                     | DSM-IV   | 5.30%      | <i>L/L</i>         |
| Anfal Nayir H. Alanazi et al. (2022) [25]        | SA        | CS     | 420            | 6-18 | 187   | 233    | Both                     | DSM-IV   | 24%        | <i>L/L</i>         |
| Ashok N. Solanki and Sarzoo G. Desai (2012) [26] | India     | CS     | 1258           | 5-12 | 869   | 389    | Both                     | DSM-IV   | 11.13%     | <i>L/L</i>         |
| Ashraf H. Mohammed et al. (2014) [27]            | Egypt     | CS     | 450            | 6-12 |       |        | Both                     | ICD-10   | 15.70%     | 5/7                |
| Emin Ozkaya et al. (2013) [28]                   | Turkey    | CS     | 886            | 6-14 | 488   | 398    | Both                     | DSM-IV   | 19.75%     | <i>L/T</i>         |
| Avinash De Sousa et al. (2007) [29]              | India     | CS     | 1473           | 6-10 | 1086  | 387    | Both                     | DSM-IV   | 6.50%      | <i>L/T</i>         |
| Ayten Erdogan et al. (2007) [30]                 | Turkey    | CS     | 356            | 5-7  | 174   | 182    | Both                     | DSM-IV   | 12.60%     | 6/7                |
| B Gu¨mu¨s et al. (1999) [31]                     | Turkey    | CS     | 1703           | 7-11 | 832   | 871    | Both                     | DSM-IV   | 13.74%     | <i>L/L</i>         |
| Bassem abu Merhi et al. (2014) [32]              | Lebanon   | CS     | 7270           | 5-18 | 4362  | 2908   | Both                     | ICD-10   | 5.30%      | <i>L/L</i>         |
| BB Kalo and H Bella (1996) [33]                  | SA        | CS     | 640            | 7-11 | 320   | 320    | Both                     | ICD-10   | 15%        | 5/7                |
| Bharat Choudhary et al. (2005) [34]              | India     | CS     | 1346           | 5-10 | 838   | 508    | Both                     | DSM-V    | 12.70%     | <i>L/L</i>         |
| C.I. Esezobor et al. (2014) [35]                 | Nigeria   | CS     | 928            | 7-17 | 444   | 484    | Both                     | ICCS     | 24.40%     | <i>L/L</i>         |
| Yazici M cenk et al. (2012) [36]                 | Turkey    | CS     | 9210           | 7-14 |       |        | Both                     | DSM-IV   | 7.50%      | 6/7                |
| Cuneyt Ozden et al. (2007) [37]                  | Turkey    | CS     | 1,339          | 6-12 | 647   | 692    | Both                     | ICD-10   | 17.50%     | <i>L/L</i>         |
| Dayanand P. Nakate et al. (2018) [38]            | India     | CS     | 1430           | 5-15 | 732   | 698    | Both                     | DSM-IV   | 11.40%     | <i>L/L</i>         |
| Denise M. Mota et al. (2004) [39]                | Brazil    | CS     | 3,602          | 6-7  | 1872  | 1730   | Both                     | DSM-IV   | 10.60%     | <i>L/L</i>         |
| Dipak N. Khadke et al. (2012) [40]               | India     | CS     | 413            | 5-10 |       |        | Both                     | DSM-IV   | 10.91%     | 5/7                |
| Elham Alhifthy et al. (2021) [41]                | SA        | CS     | 505            | 5-18 | 314   | 191    | Both                     | DSM-V    | 48%        | <i>L/T</i>         |
| Emad M. Hammad et al. (2019) [42]                | Egypt     | CS     | 1148           | 5-12 | 532   | 612    | Both                     | ICCS     | 17.80%     | <i>L/L</i>         |
| EMEL GÜR et al. (2002) [43]                      | Turkey    | CS     | 1576           | 6-16 | 822   | 754    | Primary                  | ICD-10   | 5.40%      | <i>L/L</i>         |
| Etuk, I. S et al. (2011) [44]                    | Nigeria   | CS     | 2780           | 6-12 | 1,536 | 1,244  | Both                     | ICCS     | 6.70%      | <i>L/L</i>         |
| Fatemeh -rkashvand et al. (2017) [45]            | Iran      | CS     | 1080           | 68   | 665   | 415    | Both                     | DSM-IV   | 1 0.60%    | <i>L/L</i>         |
| Faten Younis et al. (2020) [46]                  | Egypt     | CS     | 510            | 6-12 | 237   | 273    | Both                     | DSM-IV   | 14.30%     | <i>L/L</i>         |
| Gaonkar Neha V. et al. (2018) [47]               | Australia | CS     | 300            | 6-15 | 191   | 109    | Both                     | ICCS     | 12.67%     | 7/7                |
| Gulumser Dolgun et al. (2011) [48]               | Turkey    | CS     | 420            | 5-13 |       |        | Both                     | DSM-IV   | 16.20%     | 6/7                |
| H.N. Al-Naqeeb et al. (1989) [49]                | SA        | CS     | 1261           | 6-10 |       |        | Both                     | DSM-IV   | 9.70%      | 7/7                |
| Hamsa Shaker Abdul-Nabi e al (2013) [50]         | Basra     | S      | 675            | 6-7  | 340   | 335    | Both                     | DSM-IV   | 9.48%      | 2/7                |
|  |           |        |                |      |       |        |                          |          |            |                    |

| (continued) |
|-------------|
| able 1      |
| Table       |

| Author(year)                                       | Country     | Design | Sample | Age   | Male | Female | Type (Primary/secondary) | Criteria      | Prevalence | Quality       |
|--|-------------|--------|--------|-------|------|--------|--------------------------|---------------|------------|---------------|
|  |             |        | size   |       |      |        |                          |               |            | assess-       |
|  |             |        |        |       |      |        |                          |               |            | ment<br>score |
| Hasan Mohamed Aljefri et al. (2013) [51]           | Yemen       | 20     | 832    | 6-15  | 391  | 441    | Both                     | DSM-IV        | 28.60%     | 2/7           |
| Hasmet Sarici et al. (2013) [52]                   | Turkey      | CS     | 1984   | 6-13  | 1031 | 953    | Both                     | ICCS          | 9.52%      | <i>L/L</i>    |
| Hui-Lung Tai et al. (2006) [53]                    | Taiwan      | CS     | 8496   | 6-12  |      |        | Both                     | ICD-10        | 6.80%      | 6/7           |
| Hui-Mei Huang et al. (2020) [54]                   | China       | CS     | 6568   | 5-12  | 3409 | 3159   | Both                     | ICCS          | 3.99%      | <i>L/T</i>    |
| Ipek Ozunan Akil et al. (2014) [55]                | Turkey      | CS     | 416    | 7-15  | 216  | 200    | Both                     | ICCS          | 16.60%     | <i>L/T</i>    |
| Irene Mbinya Nzamu (2012) [56]                     | Kenya       | CS     | 400    | 6-14  | 202  | 198    | Both                     | DSM-IV        | 14.50%     | <i>L/T</i>    |
| J. M. Chinawa et al. (2014) [57]                   | Nigeria     | CS     | 245    | 6-12  | 151  | 94     | Both                     | DSM-IV        | 22.80%     | <i>L/L</i>    |
| J. Marleen Linde et al. (2018) [58]                | Netherlands | CS     | 240    | 8-17  |      |        | Both                     | ICCS          | 1.70%      | <i>L/T</i>    |
| Jae Min Chung et al. (2006) [59]                   | Korea       | CS     | 16,516 | 5-13  | 8260 | 8260   | Both                     | ICCS          | 6.42%      | <i>L/T</i>    |
| Jian Guo Wen et al. (2005) [60]                    | China       | CS     | 10,088 | 5-18  | 5144 | 4944   | Primary                  | DSM-IV        | 4.07%      | <i>L/T</i>    |
| Juliet Essen and Catherine Peckham (1986) [61]     | UK          | CS     | 12,232 | 5-11  |      |        | Primary                  | ICCS          | 12%        | 6/7           |
| K 0 Osungbade and F 0 Oshiname (2003) [62]         | Nigeria     | CS     | 664    | 6-12  | 356  | 308    | Both                     | ICCS          | 17.60%     | <i>L/L</i>    |
| K.U. Özkan et al. (2004) [63]                      | Turkey      | CS     | 3449   | 6-11  | 1632 | 1,817  | Both                     | DSM-III       | 9.80%      | <i>L/T</i>    |
| Karim Eldin Mohamed Ali Salih et al. (2013) [64]   | Sudan       | CC     | 816    | 5-12  | 323  | 493    | Both                     | DSM-IV        | 5.90%      | <i>L/T</i>    |
| Katayoun Bakhtiar et al. (2013) [65]               | Iran        | CS     | 710    | 5-10  | 355  | 355    | Both                     | DSM-IV        | 8%         | <i>L/T</i>    |
| Katja Karničcnik et al. (2012) [66]                | Slovenia    | CS     | 1248   | 6-15  |      |        | Primary                  | Not specified | 12.40%     | 4/7           |
| Khalida Anwer Yousef et al. (2010) [67]            | Yemen       | CS     | 656    | 6–18  | 316  | 340    | Both                     | Not specified | 17.20%     | 5/7           |
| Kharifah Mohammad Sherah et al. (2019) [68]        | SA          | CS     | 505    | 5-12  | 251  | 254    | Both                     | DSM-IV        | 76.40%     | <i>L/T</i>    |
| Kursat B. Carman et al. (2017) [69]                | Turkey      | CS     | 2589   | 6-12  | 1258 | 1331   | Both                     | Not specified | 20.80%     | 6/7           |
| Lutf M. Al-Zubairi et al. (2018) [70]              | Yemen       | CS     | 2689   | 7-12  | 781  | 1245   | Both                     | Not specified | 11.20%     | 6/7           |
| M. R. Jarvelin et al. (1986) [71]                  | Finland     | CS     | 3206   | 7     |      |        | Both                     | Not specified | 6.40%      | 6/7           |
| Mahboobeh Firouzkouhi Moghaddam et al. (2014) [72] | Iran        | CS     | 1133   | 7-12  | 566  | 567    | Both                     | DSM-IV        | 5.60%      | <i>L/L</i>    |
| Mahmoodzadeh Hashem et al. (2013) [73]             | Iran        | CS     | 958    | 7-11  | 453  | 465    | Both                     | Not specified | 18.70%     | <i>L/T</i>    |
| Sevim Savaser et al. (2017) [74]                   | Turkey      | CS     | 2750   | 11-14 | 1366 | 1384   | Both                     | DSM-V         | 1.45%      | <i>L/T</i>    |
| Maja Miskulin et al. (2010) [75]                   | Croatia     | CS     | 3011   | 6-7   |      |        | Both                     | ICCS          | 1.20%      | 6/7           |
| Malik Tajuddin et al. (2010) [76]                  | Pakistan    | CS     | 1236   | 5-15  |      |        | Both                     | ICCS          | 13.10%     | 6/7           |
| Margaret W. Fockema et al. (2012) [77]             | SA          | CS     | 3389   | 6-10  |      |        | Both                     | ICCS          | 16.00%     | 6/7           |
| Mariana Lima Por-carrero et al. (2011) [78]        | Brazil      | CS     | 100    | 5-17  |      |        | Both                     | Not specified | 5%         | 4/7           |
| Mazhar Nazir Chatta et al. (2016) [79]             | Pakistan    | CS     | 1550   | 6-15  | 782  | 768    | Both                     | ICCS          | 25%        | <i>L/T</i>    |
| Miao Shang Su et al. (2011) [80]                   | China       | CS     | 6147   | 6-11  | 3115 | 3032   | Both                     | ICD-10        | 4.60%      | <i>L/L</i>    |
| Michel N Aloni et al. (2012) [81]                  | DR Congo    | CS     | 415    | 6-12  | 196  | 219    | Both                     | ICCS          | 26.26%     | <i>L/L</i>    |
| Mitsuru Kajiwara et al. (2016) [82]                | Japan       | CS     | 202    | 13-15 | 66   | 103    | Both                     | ICD-10        | 3.00%      | 5/7           |
| Mohammad Alkot and Mohsen Deeb (2012) [83]         | Egypt       | CS     | 723    | 6-18  | 353  | 370    | Both                     | ICD-10        | 14.67%     | <i>L/L</i>    |
| Mohammad R. Safarinejad (2017) [84]                | Iran        | CS     | 6889   | 5-18  | 3341 | 3548   | Both                     | ICD-10        | 4.80%      | <i>L/T</i>    |
| Mona Madbouly Shahin et al. (2017) [85]            | SA          | CS     | 652    | 5-12  | 286  | 366    | Both                     | DSM-IV        | 22.70%     | 7/7           |
| Muna Ahmed Awn et al. (2018) [86]                  | Bahrain     | CS     | 438    | 5-12  | 235  | 202    | Both                     | DSM-IV        | 10.75%     | 7/7           |

| continued) |
|------------|
| le 1       |
| Tab        |

| Author(year)                                      | Country     | Design | Sample  | Age   | Male | Female | Type (Primary/secondary) | Criteria      | Prevalence | Quality       |
|---|-------------|--------|---------|-------|------|--------|--------------------------|---------------|------------|---------------|
|   |             | ı      | size    | I     |      |        |                          |               |            | assess-       |
|   |             |        |         |       |      |        |                          |               |            | ment<br>score |
| Muntather Sadiq Alhejji et al. (2020) [87]        | SA          | CS     | 321     | 5-16  | 173  | 148    | Both                     | DSM-IV        | 11.20%     | 6/7           |
| Murat Unalacak et al. (2004) [88]                 | Turkey      | CS     | 1247    | 7-12  | 607  | 640    | Both                     | ICD-10        | 8.90%      | 7/7           |
| N Semoli et al. (2009) [89]                       | Slovenia    | CS     | 1311    | 5     |      |        | Primary                  | ICCS          | 8.70%      | 6/7           |
| N. Pashapour et al. (2008 (90)                    | Iran        | CS     | 3500    | 7-12  | 1829 | 1671   | Both                     | ICCS          | 7.70%      | <i>L/L</i>    |
| Necmettin Penbegü et al. (2012) [91]              | Turkey      | CS     | 4203    | 6-15  | 2192 | 2011   | Both                     | ICCS          | 25.90%     | L/L           |
| Nega Tezera Assimamaw et al. (2024) [92]          | Ethiopia    | CS     | 730     | 5-14  | 433  | 297    | Both                     | DSM-V         | 22.20%     | L/L           |
| Hansakunachai, Tippawan et al. (2005) [93]        | Thailand    | CS     | 2417    | 5-15  |      |        | Both                     | Not specified | 3.90%      | 5/7           |
| Issa Hazza and Hussein Tarawneh (2005) [94]       | Jordan      | CS     | 680     | 6-8   |      |        | Primary                  | Not specified | 23.80%     | 5/7           |
| Murad, Mohammed, et al. (2017) [95]               | Iraq        | CS     | 360     |       | 180  | 180    | Both                     | Not specified | 7.50%      | 4/7           |
| J B Devlin (1991) [96]                            | Ireland     | CS     | 1806    |       |      |        | Both                     | Not specified | 13%        | 4/7           |
| NH Mbibu et al. (2005) [97]                       | Nigeria     | CS     | 1416    | 5-14  |      |        | Both                     | Not specified | 14.90%     | 4/7           |
| Nistha Shrestha et al. (2020) [98]                | Nepal       | CS     | 305     | 11-16 | 186  | 119    | Both                     | Not specified | 3.93%      | <i>L/L</i>    |
| Nuru Hassen Ibrahim et al. (2021) [99]            | Ethiopia    | CS     | 866     | 6-15  |      |        | Both                     | DSM-IV        | 26.60%     | 6/7           |
| Ornatcha Sirimongkolchaiyakul et al. (2023) [100] | Thailand    | CS     | 3009    | 5-15  |      |        | Primary                  | ICCS          | 9.70%      | 6/7           |
| Ou Anyanwu et al. (2015) [101]                    | Nigeria     | CS     | 216     | 6-18  |      |        | Both                     | Not specified | 37%        | 4/7           |
| P. Chang et al. (2015) [102]                      | Taiwan      | CS     | 1176    | 6-11  | 624  | 552    | Primary                  | Not specified | 8%         | 6/7           |
| Pietro Ferrara et al. (2019) [103]                | Italy       | CS     | 130,000 | 5-14  |      |        | Both                     | ICCS          | 7.20%      | 6/7           |
| Premala Sureshkumar et al. (2009) [104]           | Australia   | CS     | 2856    | 5-10  |      |        | Both                     | ICD-10        | 18.20%     | 6/7           |
| Qing Wei Wang et al. (2007) [105]                 | China       | CS     | 8696    | 7–18  |      |        | Both                     | ICCS          | 5.57%      | 6/7           |
| R J rona et al. (1997) [106]                      | UK          | CS     | 14,674  | 5-11  | 7463 | 7211   | Both                     | Not specified | 9.80%      | 6/7           |
| Ravi Gupta et al. (2016) [107]                    | India       | CS     | 831     | 8-13  | 423  | 408    | Both                     | Not specified | 8.70%      | 6/7           |
| Reda Goweda et al. (2020) [108]                   | Egypt       | CS     | 363     | 5-16  |      |        | Both                     | DSM-IV        | 63.90%     | 5/7           |
| Safaa Mohammed El-Sayed Ahmed et al. (2022) [109] | Egypt       | CS     | 454     | 6-12  |      |        | Both                     | Not specified | 8.80%      | 6/7           |
| Richard J. Butler et al. (2005) [110]             | England     | Cohort | 8151    | 7.5   |      |        | Both                     | DSM-IV        | 2.60%      | 6/7           |
| S Mattsson (1994) [111]                           | Sweden      | CS     | 242     | 7-15  |      |        | Both                     | Not specified | 7.90%      | 5/7           |
| S.D. Lee et al. (2000) [112]                      | Korea       | CS     | 7012    | 7-12  | 3547 | 3465   | Both                     | Not specified | 9.40%      | 6/7           |
| Saad S. Al-Zahrani (2014) [113]                   | SA          | CS     | 2701    | 7-12  | 1501 | 1200   | Both                     | ICCS          | 7.81%      | 7/7           |
| Salva-re Arena and Mario Patricolo (2017) [114]   | SA          | Cohort | 128     | 5-14  |      |        | Primary                  | ICCS          | 30.40%     | 5/7           |
| Sameena Shah et al. (2018) [115]                  | Pakistan    | CS     | 429     | 5-16  | 243  | 186    | Both                     | ICCS          | 43%        | <i>L/L</i>    |
| Seçil Özkan et al. (2010) [116]                   | Turkey      | CS     | 14,060  | 5-12  | 7060 | 7000   | Primary                  | DSM-IV        | 9.00%      | <i>L/L</i>    |
| D.M. Fergusson et al. (1990) [117]                | New Zealand | Cohort | 929     | 5-10  |      |        | Secondary                | DSM-III       | 7.90%      | 6/7           |
| Sedat Aydin et al. (2008) [118]                   | Turkey      | CS     | 1132    | 5-14  | 585  | 547    | Both                     | Not specified | 9.18%      | 6/7           |
| Sema Uğuralp et al. (2003) [119]                  | Turkey      | CS     | 1377    | 5-9   | 703  | 674    | Both                     | ICCS          | 5.20%      | <i>T</i> //7  |
| Shatha Abdul-Rahman et al. (2008) [1 20]          | Iraqi       | CS     | 596     | 6-8   | 399  | 197    | Both                     | DSM-IV        | 13.80%     | 7/7           |
| Shitanshu Srivastava et al. (2012) [121]          | India       | CS     | 1212    | 6-12  | 418  | 794    | Primary                  | ICCS          | 12.60%     | L/7           |
| Sinead Hanafin (1998) [122]                       | Ireland     | CS     | 6206    | 4-14  |      |        | Both                     | Not specified | 10.73%     | 6/7           |

| Author(year)   | Country               | Design        | Sample<br>size | Age            | Male     | Female        | Type (Primary/secondary)          | Criteria            | Prevalence      | Quality<br>assess-<br>ment<br>score |
|--|-----------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|----------|---------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|
| Srirangam Shreeram et al. (2008) [123]   | USA                   | S             | 1136           | 8-11           | 560      | 576           | Both                              | DSM-IV              | 5.45%           | 2/7                                 |
| Stephanie Gonzalez Mejias et al. (2008) [124]  | Dominican<br>Republic | S             | 655            | 5-11           | 332      | 323           | Both                              | Not specified       | 27.90%          | 6/7                                 |
| Sunayna Pandey et al. (2020) [125]   | India                 | S             | 1904           | 5-12           |          |               | Both                              | DSM-5               | 6.67%           | 6/7                                 |
| Tine Caroc Warner et al. (2019) [126]  | Denmark               | S             | 6803           | 5-15           | 3479     | 3324          | Both                              | Not specified       | 10.28%          | 6/7                                 |
| Tsang-Wee Cher et al. (2002) [127]   | Taiwan                | S             | 7225           | 6-12           | 3649     | 3576          | Both                              | Not specified       | 5.50%           | 6/7                                 |
| Uju Ifeoma Nnubia et al. (2024) [128]  | Nigeria               | S             | 806            | 9-12           | 560      | 246           | Both                              | Not specified       | 15%             | 6/7                                 |
| Xi Zheng Wang et al. (2019) [129]  | China                 | S             | 18,016         | 5-18           |          |               | Both                              | Not specified       | 7.30%           | 5/7                                 |
| Xianchen Liu et al. (2000) [1 30]  | China                 | S             | 3344           | 6-16           | 1795     | 1549          | Both                              | DSM-IV              | 4.30%           | <i>L/L</i>                          |
| Y Kameswari (2003) [131]   | Malaysia              | S             | 2487           | 7-12           | 1082     | 1405          | Both                              | ICD-10              | 8%              | L//L                                |
| Yusuf Cetin Doganer et al. (2015) [132]  | Turkey                | S             | 2314           | 6-14           | 1123     | 1191          | Both                              | Not specified       | 9.90%           | 6/7                                 |
| Birhane G Hiwot et al. (2016) [133]  | Ethiopia              | S             | 1520           | 5-17           | 797      | 723           | Primary                           | DSM-5               | 6.30%           | <i>L/L</i>                          |
| M. Mohammadpour et al. (2012) [134]  | Iran                  | S             | 250            | $8.6 \pm 1.05$ |          |               | Both                              | Not specified       | 6.80%           | 5/7                                 |
| Emam Ghoraishy F. et al. (2004) [135]  | Iran                  | S             | 1000           | 6-11           |          |               | Both                              | Not specified       | 16.50%          | 6/7                                 |
| Hakim A. et al. (2015) [136]   | Iran                  | S             | 200            | 8.6±1.8        |          |               | Both                              | Not specified       | 32%             | 6/7                                 |
| Shafi Pour Z et al. (2014) [137]   | Iran                  | S             | 768            | 7-11           |          |               | Both                              | Not specified       | 7.20%           | 5/7                                 |
| Ranjbar kochaksaraei F et al. (2003) [138]   | Iran                  | S             | 1092           | 5-16           |          |               | Both                              | Not specified       | 1.80%           | 5/7                                 |
| Hashem M et al. (2013) [139]   | Iran                  | S             | 918            | 7-11           |          |               | Both                              | Not specified       | 18.70%          | 5/7                                 |
| Majeed Hameed and Bilal Mohammed (2019) [138]  | Iraqi                 | S             | 490            | 6-10           | 245      | 245           | Both                              | DSM-IV              | 14.90%          | L/L                                 |
| Chizoma I. Eneh et al. (2015) [139]  | Nigeria               | 2             | 140            | 5-11           |          |               | Both                              | DSM-IV              | 20.70%          | 6/7                                 |
| Alaa A Salih (2011) [140]  | Iraq                  | S             | 610            | 6-12           |          |               | Both                              | DSM-IV              | 20.80%          | 6/7                                 |
| SA: Saudi Arabia UK: United Kingdom CS: Cross-sectiona<br>International Classification of Diseases | l CC: case Control N  | VCC Nisted Ca | e-control lo   | CCS: Internat  | ional Ch | ildren's Cont | inence Society DSM: Diagnostic an | d Statistical Manua | l of Mental Dis | orders ICD:                         |

Table 1 (continued)



Fig. 2 A funnel plot for publication bias for nocturnal enuresis among children and adolescents

= 6.21%, p = 0.3), and studies from South America show a prevalence of 0.10 (95% CI: 0.00-0.21, I<sup>2</sup> = 0.00%, p = 1.00) (Fig. 5). These findings illustrate the considerable geographical variation in the prevalence of NE, with Africa exhibiting the highest rates among the continents analyzed.

## Sensitivity analysis

A sensitivity analysis was undertaken to assess the influence of outlying or potentially influential studies on the pooled prevalence estimate of nocturnal enuresis among children and adolescents. Employing a random effects model, the results of this analysis revealed that no influential studies were detected, as all point estimates remained within the boundaries of the 95% confidence interval. This finding indicates that the overall prevalence estimate is robust and not significantly impacted by any single study, thus reinforcing the reliability of the pooled results. Full results of the sensitivity analysis on the prevalence of NE among children and adolescents are provided in Fig. 6 of the supplementary file.

# Factors associated with nocturnal enuresis among children and adolescents

## Family history of NE

Ten studies found a significant association between a family history of nocturnal enuresis and childhood nocturnal enuresis. Of these, the lowest and highest risk factors for children and adolescents with nocturnal enuresis were AOR 2.76(95% CI: 1.3–5.85) Mohammad Alkot and Mohsen Deeb 2012 and AOR 9.77(95% CI: 4.1-23.26) Reda Goweda et al. 2020 respectively compared with those who had no family history of NE. The forest plot pooled result of these ten studies showed that the overall estimate of AOR was 1.49(95% CI: 1.26–1.71);  $I^2$ =59.83 and *p*=0.01.  $I^2$  and p showed moderate heterogeneity (Fig. 6).

Concerning publication bias, the funnel plot analysis demonstrated asymmetrical distribution (Supplementary Fig. 8). However, the results from Egger's regression test and the Begg test produced p-values of 0.53 and 0.72 respectively, both of which indicate the absence of publication bias. The Galbraith plot further corroborated this assessment by revealing no outliers (Supplementary Fig. 9).

| Study   |   | Effect size<br>with 95% CI               | Weight<br>(%) |
|---|---|--|---------------|
|   |   | 0.24 [ 0.17 0.65]                        | 0.04          |
| Abdulaziz Alamri et al(2017)                    |   | 0.24 [ -0.17, 0.65]                      | 0.04          |
| Abdull-Kareem M An(2009)                        |   | 0.22 [ -0.07, 0.31]                      | 0.07          |
| Abdullan Alshanrani et al (2017)                |   | 0.18 [ -0.26, 0.63]                      | 0.03          |
| Aniam Ismail et al $(2012)$                     |   | 0.10[ 0.04, 0.17]                        | 1.43          |
| Ahmed Hamed et al(2016)                         |   | 0.18 [ 0.06, 0.30]                       | 0.40          |
| Alaa H. Abed et al(2009)                        |   | 0.24 [ -0.07, 0.55]                      | 0.06          |
| Ali Gunes et al (2009)                          |   | 0.15 [ -0.17, 0.47]                      | 0.06          |
| Mahmoud E. Abu Salem et al(2016)                |   | 0.15 [ -0.27, 0.58]                      | 0.03          |
| Afshin Azhir et al(2006)                        |   | 0.05 [ -0.03, 0.13]                      | 0.91          |
| Anfal Nayir H. Alanazi et al (2022)             |   | 0.24 [ -0.23, 0.71]                      | 0.03          |
| Ashok N. Solanki and Sarzoo G. Desai(2012)      |   | 0.11 [ -0.07, 0.30]                      | 0.18          |
| Ashraf H. Mohammed et al(2012                   |   | 0.16 [ -0.21, 0.52]                      | 0.04          |
| Emin Ozkaya et al(2013)                         |   | 0.20 [ -0.09, 0.49]                      | 0.07          |
| Avinash De Sousa et al(2007)                    |   | 0.07 [ -0.07, 0.20]                      | 0.35          |
| Ayten Erdogan et al (2007)                      |   | 0.13 [ -0.24, 0.49]                      | 0.04          |
| B Gu <sup>°</sup> mu <sup>°</sup> s et al(1999) |   | 0.14 [ -0.04, 0.31]                      | 0.19          |
| Bassem abu Merhi et al (2014)                   | + | 0.05 [ 0.00, 0.11]                       | 2.12          |
| BB Kalo and H Bella(1996)                       |   | 0.15 [ -0.15, 0.45]                      | 0.07          |
| Bharat Choudhary et al(2005)                    |   | 0.13 [ -0.06, 0.32]                      | 0.16          |
| C.I. Esezobor et al(2014)                       |   | 0.24 [ -0.07, 0.56]                      | 0.06          |
| Yazici M cenk et al(2012)                       |   | 0.07 [ 0.02, 0.13]                       | 1.90          |
| Cuneyt Ozden et al (2007)                       |   | 0.17 [ -0.05, 0.40]                      | 0.12          |
| Dayanand P. Nakate et al(2018)                  |   | 0.11 [ -0.06, 0.29]                      | 0.19          |
| Denise M. Mota et al(2004)                      |   | 0.11 [ -0.00, 0.21]                      | 0.53          |
| Dipak N. Khadke et al(2012)                     |   | 0.11 [ -0.21, 0.43]                      | 0.06          |
| Elham Alhifthy et al(2021)                      |   | 0.48 [ -0.12, 1.08]                      | 0.02          |
| Emad M. Hammad et al(2019)                      |   | 0.18 [ -0.07, 0.42]                      | 0.10          |
| EMEL GÜR et al(2002)                            |   | 0.05 [ -0.06, 0.17]                      | 0.45          |
| Etuk, I. S et al (2011)                         |   | 0.07 [ -0.03, 0.16]                      | 0.64          |
| Fatemeh Torkashvand et al (2017)                |   | 0.11 [ -0.09, 0.30]                      | 0.16          |
| Faten Younis et al (2020)                       |   | 0.14 [ -0.18, 0.47]                      | 0.06          |
| Gaonkar Neha V1 et al (2018)                    |   | 0.13 [ -0.28, 0.53]                      | 0.04          |
| Gulumser Dolgun et al (2011)                    |   | 0.16 [ -0.22, 0.55]                      | 0.04          |
| H.N. Al-Nageeb et al (1989)                     |   | 0.10 [ -0.07, 0.27]                      | 0.20          |
| Hamsa Shaker Abdul-Nabi e al (2013)             |   | 0.09 [ -0.14, 0.33]                      | 0.11          |
| Hasan Mohamed Aliefri e al (2013)               |   | 0.29 [ -0.08, 0.65]                      | 0.05          |
| Hasmet Sarici et al(2013)                       |   | 0.10 [ -0.04, 0.23]                      | 0.32          |
| Hui-Lung Tai et al (2006)                       | - | 0.07 [ 0.01, 0.12]                       | 1.93          |
| Hui-Mei Huang et al (2020)                      | - | 0.04 [ -0.01, 0.09]                      | 2 55          |
| Ind-Mel Huang et al (2020)                      |   | 0.17 [ -0.23 , 0.56]                     | 0.04          |
| irene Mhinya Nzamu (2012)                       |   | 0.17 [-0.23, 0.30]<br>0.14 [-0.23, 0.52] | 0.04          |
| I M Chinawa at al (2014)                        |   | 0.14 [-0.25, 0.52]                       | 0.04          |
| J. Mr. Chinawa et al (2014)                     |   | 0.25 [-0.57, 0.85]                       | 0.02          |
| Les Min Chung et al (2016)                      | Ţ | 0.02 [-0.13, 0.18]                       | 2.00          |
| Jae win Chung et al (2006)                      | J |  | 3.98          |
| Jian Guo wen et al (2005)                       |   | 0.04 [ 0.00, 0.08]                       | 3.84          |

Fig. 3 A forest plot for the pooled global prevalence of nocturnal enuresis among children and adolescents

Juliet Essen and Catherine Peckham (1986) K 0 Osungbade and F 0 Oshiname (2003) K.U. Özkan et al (2004) Karim Eldin Mohamed Ali Salih et al (2013) Katayoun Bakhtiar et al (2013) Katja Karni<sup>°</sup>cnik et al (2012) Khalida Anwer Yousef et al (2010) Kharifah Mohammad Sherah et al (2019) Kursat B. Carman et al (2017) Lutf M. Al-Zubairi et al (2018) M. R. JARVELIN et al (1986) Mahboobeh Firouzkouhi Moghaddam et al (2014) Mahmoodzadeh Hashem et al (2013) Sevim Savaser et al (2017) Maja Miskulin et al (2010) Malik Tajuddin et al (2010) Margaret W. Fockema et al (2012) Mariana Lima Portocarrero et al (2011) MAZHAR NAZIR CHATTA et al (2016) Miao Shang Su et al (2011) Michel N Aloni et al (2012) Mitsuru KAJIWARA et al (2016) Mohammad Alkot and Mohsen Deeb (2012) Mohammad R. Safarinejad (2017) Mona Madbouly Shahin et al (2017) Muna Ahmed Awn et al (2018) Muntather Sadiq Alhejji et al (2020) Murat Unalacak et al (2004) N Semoli et al (2009) N. Pashapour et al (2008) Necmettin Penbegü et al (2012) Nega Tezera Assimamaw et al (2024) HANSAKUNACHAI, TIPPAWAN et al (2005) Issa Hazza and Hussein Tarawneh et al (2005) Murad, Mohammed Challoob et al 2017 J B Devlin et al 1991 NH Mbibu et al (2005) Nistha Shrestha et al (2020) Nuru Hassen Ibrahim et al (2021) Ornatcha Sirimongkolchaiyakul et al (2023) OU ANYANWU et al (2015) P. CHANG et al (2015) Pietro Ferrara et al (2019) Premala Sureshkumar et al (2009) Qing Wei Wang et al (2004)

|   | 0.12 [ 0.06, 0.18]  | 1.58  |
|---|---------------------|-------|
|   | 0.18 [ -0.14, 0.49] | 0.06  |
|   | 0.10 [ -0.01, 0.20] | 0.55  |
|   | 0.06 [ -0.11, 0.23] | 0.21  |
| _ | 0.08 [ -0.13, 0.29] | 0.14  |
| _ | 0.12 [ -0.07, 0.32] | 0.16  |
|   | 0.17 [ -0.15, 0.49] | 0.06  |
|   |                     | 0.01  |
|   | 0.21 [ 0.03, 0.38]  | 0.19  |
| - | 0.11 [ -0.01, 0.24] | 0.37  |
|   | 0.06 [ -0.02, 0.15] | 0.78  |
|   | 0.06 [ -0.08, 0.19] | 0.31  |
|   | 0.19 [ -0.09, 0.46] | 0.08  |
|   | 0.01 [ -0.03, 0.06] | 2.93  |
|   | 0.01 [ -0.03, 0.05] | 3.88  |
|   | 0.13 [ -0.07, 0.33] | 0.15  |
| _ | 0.16[0.03,0.29]     | 0.33  |
|   | 0.05 [ -0.39 0.49]  | 0.03  |
|   |                     | 0.05  |
|   |                     | 2.07  |
|   | 0.05 [ -0.01, 0.10] | 2.07  |
| _ | 0.20 [ -0.23, 0.73] | 0.02  |
|   | 0.03 [ -0.21, 0.27] | 0.10  |
|   | 0.15 [ -0.13, 0.43] | 0.08  |
|   | 0.05 [ -0.00, 0.10] | 2.22  |
|   | 0.23 [ -0.14, 0.59] | 0.04  |
|   | 0.11 [ -0.20, 0.41] | 0.06  |
|   | 0.11 [ -0.25, 0.48] | 0.04  |
| - | 0.09 [ -0.08, 0.25] | 0.22  |
| - | 0.09 [ -0.07, 0.25] | 0.23  |
|   | 0.08 [ -0.01, 0.17] | 0.70  |
|   | 0.26 [ 0.11, 0.41]  | 0.25  |
|   | 0.22 [ -0.12, 0.56] | 0.05  |
|   | 0.04 [ -0.04, 0.12] | 0.96  |
|   | 0.24 [ -0.13, 0.60] | 0.04  |
|   | 0.07 [ -0.21, 0.36] | 0.07  |
| _ | 0.13 [ -0.04, 0.30] | 0.22  |
|   | 0.15 [ -0.05, 0.35] | 0.15  |
| - | 0.04 [ -0.18, 0.26] | 0.12  |
| · | 0.27 [ -0.08, 0.61] | 0.05  |
|   | 0.10 [ -0.01, 0.21] | 0.48  |
|   | 0.37 [ -0.44, 1.18] | 0.01  |
| - | 0.08 [ -0.08, 0.24] | 0.23  |
|   | 0.07 [ 0.06, 0.09]  | 27.95 |
| _ | 0.18 [ 0.03, 0.34]  | 0.24  |
|   | 0.06 [ 0.01, 0.11]  | 2.42  |
|   |                     |       |

Fig. 3 (continued)

| R J rona et al (1997)  | +        | 0.10 [ 0.05, 0.15]    | 2.32 |
|--|----------|-----------------------|------|
| Ravi Gupta et al (2016)  |          | 0.09 [ -0.11, 0.29]   | 0.15 |
| Reda Goweda et al (2020)                                       |          |                       | 0.01 |
| Safaa Mohammed El-Sayed Ahmed et al (2022)                     |          | 0.09 [ -0.18, 0.36]   | 0.08 |
| RICHARD J. BUTLER et al (2005)                                 | =        | 0.03 [ -0.01, 0.06]   | 4.85 |
| S Mattsson (1994)  |          | 0.08 [ -0.27, 0.43]   | 0.05 |
| S.D. LEE et al (2000)  |          | 0.09 [ 0.02, 0.17]    | 1.16 |
| Saad S. Al-Zahrani (2014)                                      |          | 0.08 [ -0.03, 0.18]   | 0.54 |
| Salvatore Arena and Mario Patricolo (2017)                     |          | - 0.30 [ -0.65, 1.26] | 0.01 |
| Sameena Shah et al (2018)                                      |          | 0.43 [ -0.19, 1.05]   | 0.02 |
| Seçil Özkan et al (2010)                                       | +        | 0.09 [ 0.04, 0.14]    | 2.42 |
| D.M. Fergusson et al (1990)                                    |          | 0.08 [ -0.10, 0.26]   | 0.18 |
| Sedat Aydin et al (2008)                                       |          | 0.09 [ -0.08, 0.27]   | 0.19 |
| SEMA UĞURALP et al (2003)                                      |          | 0.05 [ -0.07, 0.17]   | 0.41 |
| Shatha Abdul-Rahman et al (2008)                               |          | 0.14 [ -0.16, 0.44]   | 0.07 |
| Shitanshu Srivastava et al (2012)                              |          | 0.13 [ -0.07, 0.33]   | 0.15 |
| Sinead Hanafin (1998)  |          | 0.11 [ 0.03, 0.19]    | 0.90 |
| SRIRANGAM SHREERAM et al (2008)                                |          | 0.05 [ -0.08, 0.19]   | 0.32 |
| Stephanie Gonzalez Mejias et al (2008)                         |          | 0.28 [ -0.12, 0.68]   | 0.04 |
| Sunayna Pandey et al (2020)                                    |          | 0.07 [ -0.05, 0.18]   | 0.44 |
| Tine Caroc Warner et al (2019)                                 |          | 0.10 [ 0.03, 0.18]    | 1.02 |
| TSANG-WEE CHER et al (2002)                                    |          | 0.06 [ 0.00, 0.11]    | 2.03 |
| Uju Ifeoma Nnubia et al (2024)                                 |          | 0.15 [ -0.12, 0.42]   | 0.08 |
| Xi Zheng Wang et al (2019)                                     | +        | 0.07 [ 0.03, 0.11]    | 3.82 |
| XIANCHEN LIU et al (2000)                                      |          | 0.04 [ -0.03, 0.11]   | 1.20 |
| Y KANAHESWARI (2003)   |          | 0.08 [ -0.03, 0.19]   | 0.48 |
| Yusuf Cetin Doganer et al (2015)                               |          | 0.10 [ -0.03, 0.23]   | 0.36 |
| Birhane G Hiwot et al (2016)                                   | <u> </u> | 0.06 [ -0.06, 0.19]   | 0.37 |
| M. Mohammadpour et al (2012)                                   |          | 0.07 [ -0.26, 0.39]   | 0.06 |
| EmamGhoraishy F. et al (2004)                                  |          | 0.17 [ -0.09, 0.42]   | 0.09 |
| Hakim A. et al (2015)  |          | 0.32 [ -0.46, 1.10]   | 0.01 |
| Shafi Pour Z et al (2014)                                      |          | 0.07 [ -0.12, 0.26]   | 0.17 |
| Ranjbar kochaksaraei F et al (2003)                            |          | 0.02 [ -0.06, 0.10]   | 0.94 |
| Hashem M et al (2013)  |          | 0.19 [ -0.09, 0.47]   | 0.08 |
| Majeed Hameed and Bilal Mohammed (2019)                        |          | 0.15 [ -0.19, 0.49]   | 0.05 |
| Chizoma I. Eneh et al (2015)                                   |          | 0.21 [ -0.55, 0.96]   | 0.01 |
| Alaa A Salih (2011)  |          | 0.21 [ -0.15, 0.57]   | 0.05 |
| Overall  |          | 0.07 [ 0.06, 0.08]    |      |
| Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.00$ , $I^2 = 0.00\%$ , $H^2 = 1.00$ |          | ,                     |      |
| Test of $\theta_i = \theta_i$ : O(126) = 89.97. p = 0.99       |          |                       |      |
| Test of $\theta = 0$ : $z = 17.53$ , $p = 0.00$                |          |                       |      |
|  | 5 0 .5 1 | 1.5                   |      |

Random-effects DerSimonian-Laird model

Fig. 3 (continued)

## **Positive UTI history**

A total of five studies reported a significant association between positive urinary tract infection and NE. Of

these, the lowest and highest risk factors for children and adolescents with nocturnal enuresis were AOR 2.92 (95% CI: 1.6-4.16) Mahmoodzadeh et al. (2013) and AOR 5.83

T.

| 2020-2024  |                         |      |
|--|-------------------------|------|
| Anfal Nayir H. Alanazi et al (2022)                            | <br>0.24 [ -0.23, 0.71] | 0.03 |
| Elham Alhifthy et al(2021)                                     | <br>0.48 [ -0.12, 1.08] | 0.02 |
| Faten Younis et al (2020)                                      | <br>0.14 [ -0.18, 0.47] | 0.06 |
| Nega Tezera Assimamaw et al (2024)                             | <br>0.22 [ -0.12, 0.56] | 0.05 |
| Nistha Shrestha et al (2020)                                   | <br>0.04 [ -0.18, 0.26] | 0.12 |
| Nuru Hassen Ibrahim et al (2021)                               | <br>0.27 [ -0.08, 0.61] | 0.05 |
| Ornatcha Sirimongkolchaiyakul et al (2023)                     | <br>0.10 [ -0.01, 0.21] | 0.48 |
| Reda Goweda et al (2020)                                       | <br>0.64 [ -0.18, 1.46] | 0.01 |
| Safaa Mohammed El-Sayed Ahmed et al (2022)                     | <br>0.09 [ -0.18, 0.36] | 0.08 |
| Sunayna Pandey et al (2020)                                    | <br>0.07 [ -0.05, 0.18] | 0.44 |
| Uju Ifeoma Nnubia et al (2024)                                 | <br>0.15 [ -0.12, 0.42] | 0.08 |
| Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.00$ , $I^2 = 0.00\%$ , $H^2 = 1.00$ | 0.11 [ 0.04, 0.17]      |      |
| Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$ : Q(10) = 5.67, p = 0.84         |                         |      |
| Test of $\theta = 0$ : $z = 3.27$ , $p = 0.00$                 |                         |      |

Fig. 4 A forest plot for the sub-group analysis of the prevalence of nocturnal enuresis among children and adolescents based on the publication year of studies

(95% CI: 1.52-22.33) Reda Goweda et al. 2020 respectively. The forest plot result of the studies showed that the overall effect size was 3.89, with a 95% CI (2.93-4.46). The heterogeneity measures, including  $I^2 = 76.82\%$  and P value = 0.00, suggest a high degree of variability in the effect sizes across the included studies (Fig. 7).

Regarding publication bias, the funnel plot analysis revealed a symmetrical distribution (Fig. 11 in the Supplementary file). Additionally, the results from Egger's regression test and the Begg test results have p-values of 0.41 and 0.46 respectively, both of which indicate the absence of publication bias. The Galbraith plot corroborated this assessment by revealing no outliers (Fig. 12 in Supplementary file).

## Presence of stressful events

The meta-analysis presented in the forest plot investigates the association between nocturnal enuresis with the presence of stressful events like the death of a loved one, parental divorce, relocation from a permanent home, and the presence of long-term illness. The overall pooled effect size of the studies was AOR = 1.90(95%)CI; 1.75–2.05),  $I^2 = 0.00\%$ , and P value = 0.74, suggesting homogeneities in the effect sizes across the included studies (Fig. 8). The funnel plot analysis showed a symmetrical distribution (refer to Supplementary Fig. 14). Additionally, the results from Egger's regression test resulted in p-values of 0.88, both of which indicate the absence of publication bias. The Galbraith plot also did not show any outliers (see Supplementary Fig. 15).

## Male sex

A total of seven studies reported a significant association between male sex and NE. Of these, the lowest and highest risk factors for children and adolescents with nocturnal enuresis were AOR 1.02(95% CI: 1.01-1.03) Seçil Özkan et al. 2010 and AOR 2.69 (95% CI: 1.37–5.26) Srirangam Shreeram e al 2008 respectively. The forest plot result of the studies showed that the overall effect size was 1.63, with a 95% CI (1.31-1.94). The heterogeneity measures, including  $I^2 = 92\%$  and P value = 0.00, suggest a high degree of variability in the effect sizes across the included studies (Fig. 9). Concerning publication bias, the funnel plot analysis demonstrated asymmetrical distribution (Supplementary Fig. 17). Additionally, the results from Egger's regression test and the Begg test produced p-values of 0.00 and 0.03 respectively, indicating publication bias. The Galbraith plot revealed no outliers (Supplementary Fig. 18).

## **First birth order**

The forest plot result of the studies showed that the overall effect size was AOR 0.5 (95% CI: 0.37-0.62). The heterogeneity measures, including  $I^2 = 0.00\%$  and P value = 0.71, suggest a homogeneity in the effect sizes across the included studies (Fig. 10). Regarding publication bias, the funnel plot analysis revealed a symmetrical distribution (Supplementary Fig. 20). Additionally, the results from Egger's regression test p-value of 0.83 indicate the absence of publication bias. The Galbraith plot

0.04 0.03

1.43

0.40

0.03

0.18

0.04

0.07 0.19

2.12

0.06

1.90

0.19

0.06

0.10

0.64

0.16 0.04

0.04

0.11 0.05

0.32

2.55

0.04 0.04

0.02

0.22

0.21

0.14 0.16

0.06

0.01

0.19

0.37

0.31

0.08

2.93

3.88 0.15

0.33 0.03

0.10

2.07 0.02

0.10

| 2010-2019  |   |                     |
|--|---|---------------------|
| Abdulaziz Alamri et al(2017)   |   | 0.24 [ -0.17, 0.65] |
| Abdullah Alshahrani et al (2017)   |   | 0.18 [ -0.26, 0.63] |
| Ahlam Ismail et al(2012)   |   | 0.10 [ 0.04, 0.17]  |
| Ahmed Hamed et al(2016)  |   | 0.18 [ 0.06, 0.30]  |
| Mahmoud F. Abu Salem et al $(2016)$  |   | 0.15 [-0.27, 0.58]  |
| Ashok N. Solanki and Sarzoo G. Desai(2012)                                     |   | 0.11 [ -0.07 0.30]  |
| Ashraf H. Mohammed et al $(2012)$  |   | 0.16[-0.21, 0.52]   |
| Emin Ozkava et al $(2013)$   |   | 0.20 [ -0.09 0.49]  |
| B Gu''mu''s et $al(1999)$  |   | 0.14 [ -0.04 0.31]  |
| Bassem abu Merhi et al $(2014)$  | - |                     |
| C L Esezobor et al $(2014)$  |   | 0.05 [ 0.00, 0.11]  |
| Varie M cank at $al(2012)$   |   | 0.24 [-0.07, 0.30]  |
| Davanand P. Nakata at al(2018)   |   | 0.07 [ 0.02, 0.13]  |
| Dayanand F. Nakate et al $(2013)$  |   | 0.11 [-0.00, 0.23]  |
| Emad M. Hammad, at al(2012)  |   | 0.11 [-0.21, 0.43]  |
| Etha IV. Hanniad $et al(2019)$   |   | 0.18 [-0.07, 0.42]  |
| Estamph Torkesburged et al (2017)  |   | 0.07 [ -0.03, 0.10] |
| $G_{22} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 \right)$ |   | 0.11 [ -0.09, 0.50] |
| Guburger Deleve et el (2011)   |   | 0.15 [ -0.28, 0.55] |
| Homos Shaker Abdul Nabi e el (2012)  |   | 0.10 [ -0.22, 0.33] |
| Hannsa Shaker Abdul-Nabi e al (2013)   |   | 0.09 [ -0.14, 0.33] |
| Hasmat Saniai at al(2012)  |   | 0.29 [ -0.08, 0.03] |
| Hasinet Sanci et al $(2013)$   |   | 0.10 [ -0.04, 0.23] |
| Hul-Mei Huang et al (2020)   |   | 0.04 [ -0.01, 0.09] |
| ipek Ozunan Akii et al (2014)  |   | 0.17 [ -0.23, 0.56] |
| Irene Mbinya Nzamu (2012)  |   | 0.14 [ -0.23, 0.52] |
| J. M. Chinawa et al (2014)   |   | 0.23 [ -0.37, 0.83] |
| J.Marleen Linde et al (2018)   |   | 0.02 [ -0.15, 0.18] |
| Karım Eldin Mohamed Ali Salih et al (2013)                                     |   | 0.06 [ -0.11, 0.23] |
| Katayoun Bakhtiar et al (2013)   |   | 0.08 [ -0.13, 0.29] |
| Katja Karni cnik et al (2012)  |   | 0.12 [ -0.07, 0.32] |
| Khalida Anwer Yousef et al (2010)  |   | 0.17 [ -0.15, 0.49] |
| Kharifah Mohammad Sherah et al (2019)  |   | 0.76 [ 0.00, 1.52]  |
| Kursat B. Carman et al (2017)  |   | 0.21 [ 0.03, 0.38]  |
| Lutt M. Al-Zubarri et al (2018)  |   | 0.11 [ -0.01, 0.24] |
| Mahboobeh Firouzkouhi Moghaddam et al (2014)                                   |   | 0.06 [ -0.08, 0.19] |
| Mahmoodzadeh Hashem et al (2013)   |   | 0.19 [ -0.09, 0.46] |
| Sevim Savaser et al (2017)   | - | 0.01 [ -0.03, 0.06] |
| Maja Miskulin et al (2010)   | - | 0.01 [ -0.03, 0.05] |
| Malik Tajuddin et al (2010)  |   | 0.13 [ -0.07, 0.33] |
| Margaret W. Fockema et al (2012)   |   | 0.16 [ 0.03, 0.29]  |
| Mariana Lima Portocarrero et al (2011)   |   | 0.05 [ -0.39, 0.49] |
| MAZHAR NAZIR CHATTA et al (2016)   |   | 0.25 [ 0.00, 0.50]  |
| Miao Shang Su et al (2011)   | 1 | 0.05 [ -0.01, 0.10] |
| Michel N Aloni et al (2012)  |   | 0.26 [ -0.23, 0.75] |
| Mıtsuru KAJIWARA et al (2016)  |   | 0.03 [ -0.21, 0.27] |
|  |   |                     |

Fig. 4 (continued)

| Mohammad Alkot and Mohsen Deeb (2012)                          |          | 0.15 [ -0.13, 0.43] | 0.08  |
|--|----------|---------------------|-------|
| Mohammad R. Safarinejad (2017)                                 | +        | 0.05 [ -0.00, 0.10] | 2.22  |
| Mona Madbouly Shahin et al (2017)                              |          | 0.23 [ -0.14, 0.59] | 0.04  |
| Muna Ahmed Awn et al (2018)                                    |          | 0.11 [ -0.20, 0.41] | 0.06  |
| Muntather Sadiq Alhejji et al (2020)                           |          | 0.11 [ -0.25, 0.48] | 0.04  |
| Necmettin Penbegü et al (2012)                                 |          | 0.26 [ 0.11, 0.41]  | 0.25  |
| Murad, Mohammed Challoob et al 2017                            |          | 0.07 [ -0.21, 0.36] | 0.07  |
| OU ANYANWU et al (2015)  |          | 0.37 [ -0.44, 1.18] | 0.01  |
| P. CHANG et al (2015)  | <u> </u> | 0.08 [ -0.08, 0.24] | 0.23  |
| Pietro Ferrara et al (2019)                                    |          | 0.07 [ 0.06, 0.09]  | 27.95 |
| Ravi Gupta et al (2016)  |          | 0.09 [ -0.11, 0.29] | 0.15  |
| Saad S. Al-Zahrani (2014)                                      | +        | 0.08 [ -0.03, 0.18] | 0.54  |
| Salvatore Arena and Mario Patricolo (2017)                     |          | 0.30 [ -0.65, 1.26] | 0.01  |
| Sameena Shah et al (2018)                                      |          | 0.43 [ -0.19, 1.05] | 0.02  |
| Seçil Özkan et al (2010)                                       | -        | 0.09 [ 0.04, 0.14]  | 2.42  |
| Shitanshu Srivastava et al (2012)                              |          | 0.13 [ -0.07, 0.33] | 0.15  |
| Tine Caroc Warner et al (2019)                                 |          | 0.10 [ 0.03, 0.18]  | 1.02  |
| Xi Zheng Wang et al (2019)                                     | +        | 0.07 [ 0.03, 0.11]  | 3.82  |
| Yusuf Cetin Doganer et al (2015)                               |          | 0.10 [ -0.03, 0.23] | 0.36  |
| Birhane G Hiwot et al (2016)                                   |          | 0.06 [ -0.06, 0.19] | 0.37  |
| M. Mohammadpour et al (2012)                                   |          | 0.07 [ -0.26, 0.39] | 0.06  |
| Hakim A. et al (2015)  |          | 0.32 [ -0.46, 1.10] | 0.01  |
| Shafi Pour Z et al (2014)                                      |          | 0.07 [ -0.12, 0.26] | 0.17  |
| Hashem M et al (2013)  |          | 0.19 [ -0.09, 0.47] | 0.08  |
| Majeed Hameed and Bilal Mohammed (2019)                        |          | 0.15 [ -0.19, 0.49] | 0.05  |
| Chizoma I. Eneh et al (2015)                                   |          | 0.21 [ -0.55, 0.96] | 0.01  |
| Alaa A Salih (2011)  |          | 0.21 [ -0.15, 0.57] | 0.05  |
| Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.00$ , $I^2 = 0.00\%$ , $H^2 = 1.00$ |          | 0.07 [ 0.06, 0.08]  |       |
| Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$ : Q(71) = 54.91, p = 0.92        |          |                     |       |
| Test of $\theta = 0$ : $z = 14.07$ , $p = 0.00$                |          |                     |       |

Fig. 4 (continued)

corroborated this assessment by revealing no outliers (Supplementary Fig. 21).

## Discussion

Nocturnal enuresis, or bedwetting, is a significant global public health concern that remains under-recognized, particularly among children and adolescents. Despite its prevalence, the associated discrimination and stigma deter many young individuals from seeking help. Studies indicate that the rates of nocturnal enuresis are higher than previously acknowledged, with many affected children choosing not todisclose their condition due to fear of ridicule or misunderstanding. This lack of recognition impacts their emotional well-being and obstructs effective treatment.

|  |          | Effect size         | Weight |
|--|----------|---------------------|--------|
| Study  |          | with 95% CI         | (%)    |
| 2000-2009  |          | 0.00 [ 0.07 0.51]   | 0.07   |
| Abdul-Kareem M Ali(2009)                                       |          | 0.22 [ -0.07, 0.51] | 0.07   |
| Alaa H. Abed et al(2009)                                       |          | 0.24 [ -0.07, 0.55] | 0.06   |
| Ali Gunes et al (2009)   |          | 0.15 [ -0.17, 0.47] | 0.06   |
| Afshin Azhir et al(2006)                                       | -        | 0.05 [ -0.03, 0.13] | 0.91   |
| Avinash De Sousa et al(2007)                                   |          | 0.07 [ -0.07, 0.20] | 0.35   |
| Ayten Erdogan et al (2007)                                     |          | 0.13 [ -0.24, 0.49] | 0.04   |
| Bharat Choudhary et al(2005)                                   |          | 0.13 [ -0.06, 0.32] | 0.16   |
| Cuneyt Ozden et al (2007)                                      |          | 0.17 [ -0.05, 0.40] | 0.12   |
| Denise M. Mota et al(2004)                                     |          | 0.11 [ -0.00, 0.21] | 0.53   |
| EMEL GÜR et al(2002)   | -        | 0.05 [ -0.06, 0.17] | 0.45   |
| Hui-Lung Tai et al (2006)                                      | +        | 0.07 [ 0.01, 0.12]  | 1.93   |
| Jae Min Chung et al (2006)                                     | +        | 0.06 [ 0.03, 0.10]  | 3.98   |
| Jian Guo Wen et al (2005)                                      | -        | 0.04 [ 0.00, 0.08]  | 3.84   |
| K 0 Osungbade and F 0 Oshiname (2003)                          |          | 0.18 [ -0.14, 0.49] | 0.06   |
| K.U. Özkan et al (2004)  |          | 0.10 [ -0.01, 0.20] | 0.55   |
| Murat Unalacak et al (2004)                                    |          | 0.09 [ -0.08, 0.25] | 0.22   |
| N Semoli et al (2009)  |          | 0.09 [ -0.07, 0.25] | 0.23   |
| N. Pashapour et al (2008)                                      |          | 0.08 [ -0.01, 0.17] | 0.70   |
| HANSAKUNACHAI, TIPPAWAN et al (2005)                           | -        | 0.04 [ -0.04, 0.12] | 0.96   |
| Issa Hazza and Hussein Tarawneh et al (2005)                   |          | 0.24 [ -0.13, 0.60] | 0.04   |
| NH Mbibu et al (2005)  |          | 0.15 [ -0.05, 0.35] | 0.15   |
| Premala Sureshkumar et al (2009)                               |          | 0.18 [ 0.03, 0.34]  | 0.24   |
| Qing Wei Wang et al (2004)                                     | +        | 0.06 [ 0.01, 0.11]  | 2.42   |
| RICHARD J. BUTLER et al (2005)                                 | -        | 0.03 [ -0.01, 0.06] | 4.85   |
| S.D. LEE et al (2000)  | -        | 0.09 [ 0.02, 0.17]  | 1.16   |
| Sedat Aydin et al (2008)                                       | _        | 0.09 [ -0.08, 0.27] | 0.19   |
| SEMA UĞURALP et al (2003)                                      | _        | 0.05 [ -0.07, 0.17] | 0.41   |
| Shatha Abdul-Rahman et al (2008)                               | <u> </u> | 0.14 [ -0.16, 0.44] | 0.07   |
| SRIRANGAM SHREERAM et al (2008)                                |          | 0.05 [ -0.08, 0.19] | 0.32   |
| Stephanie Gonzalez Mejias et al (2008)                         |          | 0.28 [ -0.12, 0.68] | 0.04   |
| TSANG-WEE CHER et al (2002)                                    | +        | 0.06 [ 0.00, 0.11]  | 2.03   |
| XIANCHEN LIU et al (2000)                                      | -        | 0.04 [ -0.03, 0.11] | 1.20   |
| Y KANAHESWARI (2003)   |          | 0.08 [ -0.03, 0.19] | 0.48   |
| EmamGhoraishy F. et al (2004)                                  |          | 0.17 [ -0.09, 0.42] | 0.09   |
| Ranjbar kochaksaraei F et al (2003)                            |          | 0.02 [ -0.06, 0.10] | 0.94   |
| Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.00$ , $I^2 = 0.00\%$ , $H^2 = 1.00$ |          | 0.06 [ 0.04, 0.07]  |        |
| Test of $\theta_i = \theta_i$ : Q(34) = 19.91, p = 0.97        |          |                     |        |
| Test of $\theta = 0$ : $z = 8.06$ , $p = 0.00$                 |          |                     |        |

Fig. 4 (continued)



Random-effects DerSimonian-Laird model

## Fig. 4 (continued)

This systematic review and meta-analysis, which incorporated 127 studies involving 445,242 children and adolescents globally, demonstrated a pooled prevalence of nocturnal enuresis of 7.2% (95% CI: 6.2–8.1%). The analysis employed a random effects model, confirming the homogeneity among the included studies, as indicated by the Q test (p = 1) and an I<sup>2</sup> statistic of 0.00%. Notably, this result is slightly lower than that reported in a systematic review conducted in Iran, which found a prevalence of 10.2%. This discrepancy may be attributed to the larger sample size utilized in the present analysis.

In the subgroup analysis of nocturnal enuresis (NE), the pooled prevalence reported for studies conducted after 2019 indicates a higher rate of 0.11 (95% CI: 0.04–0.17,  $I^2 = 0.00\%$ , p = 0.84). This finding contrasts with the pooled prevalence of 0.07 (95% CI: 0.06–0.08,  $I^2 = 0.00\%$ , p = 0.93) observed in studies from 2010 to 2019. Furthermore, studies from 2000 to 2009 reveal a lower prevalence of 0.06 (95% CI: 0.04–0.07,  $I^2 = 0.00\%$ , p = 0.98), while those conducted before 2000 report a prevalence of 0.10 (95% CI: 0.07–0.13,  $I^2 = 0.00\%$ , p = 0.99).

In a further subgroup analysis based on the continent, the pooled prevalence of NE among African studies is notably higher at 0.12 (95% CI: 0.08–0.15,  $I^2 = 0.00\%$ ,

p = 1.00). This sharply contrasts with the pooled prevalence of 0.06 (95% CI: 0.00–0.07, I<sup>2</sup> = 0.00%, p = 1.00) observed in studies from Asian countries. Additionally, studies from Europe report a prevalence of 0.08 (95% CI: 0.06–0.10, I<sup>2</sup> = 37.73%, p = 0.05), while studies from Australia indicate a higher prevalence of 0.14 (95% CI: 0.02–0.26, I<sup>2</sup> = 0.00%, p = 0.40). In North America, the prevalence stands at 0.08 (95% CI: 0.06–0.23, I<sup>2</sup> = 6.21%, p = 0.30), and studies from South America show a prevalence of 0.10 (95% CI: 0.00–0.21, I<sup>2</sup> = 0.00%, p = 1.00).

The increase in prevalence rates post-2019 and the observed geographical variation may be attributed to heightened awareness and improved diagnostic practices. Additionally, the psychological and social impacts of global events, particularly the COVID-19 pandemic, alongside geopolitical factors such as conflicts and wars, have exacerbated these challenges, especially for children in affected regions where instability and trauma can further increase the prevalence of NE. Therefore, understanding these contextual influences is crucial for developing targeted interventions and providing effective support for children and adolescents experiencing nocturnal enuresis in the current global landscape.

Adisu et al. Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Mental Health (2025) 19:23

| St. J.   |   | Effect size  | Weight |
|--|---|--|--------|
| Africo   |   | with 95% CI  | (%)    |
| Allen Ismail at al(2012)                                       |   | 0.10[0.04.0.17]  | 1 / 2  |
| Ahmad Hamad et al(2012)  |   | 0.10[0.04, 0.17]   | 0.40   |
| Mahmoud E Ahu Salam et al(2016)                                |   | 0.15 [ 0.27 0.58]  | 0.40   |
| A shraf H. Mohammed et al( $2010$ )                            |   | 0.15 [-0.27, 0.58]   | 0.03   |
| C I. Esezobor et al $(2014)$                                   |   | 0.10[-0.21, 0.52]<br>0.24[-0.07, 0.56]   | 0.04   |
| End M. Hammad. et al. $(2019)$                                 |   | 0.24 [-0.07, 0.30]   | 0.00   |
| Etuk I S et al (2011)  |   | 0.07 [ -0.03, 0.16]  | 0.10   |
| Faten Younis et al (2020)                                      |   | 0.14[-0.18, 0.47]  | 0.04   |
| irene Mhinya Nzamu (2012)                                      |   | 0.14 [-0.23, 0.52]   | 0.00   |
| I M Chinawa et al (2014)                                       |   | $0.14 \begin{bmatrix} -0.23, \ 0.32 \end{bmatrix}$<br>$0.23 \begin{bmatrix} -0.37, \ 0.83 \end{bmatrix}$ | 0.04   |
| K 0 Osunghade and F 0 Oshiname (2003)                          |   | 0.18[-0.14_0.49]   | 0.02   |
| Karim Eldin Mohamed Ali Salih et al (2013)                     |   | 0.06[-0.11, 0.23]  | 0.00   |
| Margaret W. Fockema et al (2012)                               |   | 0.16[0.03,0.29]  | 0.33   |
| Michel N Aloni et al (2012)                                    |   | 0.26[-0.23, 0.25]  | 0.02   |
| Mohammad Alkot and Mohsen Deeb (2012)                          |   | 0.15[-0.13, 0.43]  | 0.08   |
| Murat Unalacak et al (2004)                                    |   | 0.09 [ -0.08, 0.25]  | 0.22   |
| Nega Tezera Assimamaw et al (2024)                             |   | 0.22 [ -0.12, 0.56]  | 0.05   |
| NH Mbibu et al (2005)  |   | 0.15 [ -0.05, 0.35]  | 0.15   |
| Nuru Hassen Ibrahim et al (2021)                               |   | 0.27 [ -0.08, 0.61]  | 0.05   |
| OU ANYANWU et al (2015)  |   | 0.37 [ -0.44, 1.18]  | 0.01   |
| Reda Goweda et al (2020)                                       |   |  | 0.01   |
| Safaa Mohammed El-Sayed Ahmed et al (2022)                     |   | 0.09 [ -0.18, 0.36]  | 0.08   |
| Uju Ifeoma Nnubia et al (2024)                                 |   | 0.15 [ -0.12, 0.42]  | 0.08   |
| Birhane G Hiwot et al (2016)                                   |   | 0.06 [ -0.06, 0.19]  | 0.37   |
| Chizoma I. Eneh et al (2015) –                                 |   | 0.21 [ -0.55, 0.96]  | 0.01   |
| Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.00$ , $I^2 = 0.00\%$ , $H^2 = 1.00$ |   | 0.12 [ 0.08, 0.15]   |        |
| Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$ : Q(24) = 8.86, p = 1.00         |   |  |        |
| Test of $\theta = 0$ : $z = 6.38$ , $p = 0.00$                 |   |  |        |
| Australia  |   |  |        |
| Premala Sureshkumar et al (2009)                               |   | 0.18 [ 0.03, 0.34]   | 0.24   |
| D.M. Fergusson et al (1990)                                    |   | 0.08 [ -0.10, 0.26]  | 0.18   |
| Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.00$ , $I^2 = 0.00\%$ , $H^2 = 1.00$ |   | 0.14 [ 0.02, 0.26]   |        |
| Test of $\theta_i = \theta_i$ : $O(1) = 0.71$ , $p = 0.40$     |   | _ / _  |        |
| Test of $A = 0$ : $z = 2.20$ $p = 0.02$                        | V |  |        |
| 1031  of  0 = 0.2 = 2.27, p = 0.02                             |   |  |        |

Fig. 5 A forest plot for the sub-group analysis of the prevalence of nocturnal enuresis among children and adolescents based on continent

۰

| Asia  |
|---|
| Abdulaziz Alamri et al(2017)                    |
| Abdul-Kareem M Ali(2009)                        |
| Abdullah Alshahrani et al (2017)                |
| Alaa H. Abed et al(2009)                        |
| Ali Gunes et al (2009)                          |
| Afshin Azhir et al(2006)                        |
| Anfal Nayir H. Alanazi et al (2022)             |
| Ashok N. Solanki and Sarzoo G. Desai(2012)      |
| Emin Ozkaya et al(2013)                         |
| Avinash De Sousa et al(2007)                    |
| Ayten Erdogan et al (2007)                      |
| B Gu <sup>°</sup> mu <sup>°</sup> s et al(1999) |
| Bassem abu Merhi et al (2014)                   |
| BB Kalo and H Bella(1996)                       |
| Bharat Choudhary et al(2005)                    |
| Yazici M cenk et al(2012)                       |
| Cuneyt Ozden et al (2007)                       |
| Dayanand P. Nakate et al(2018)                  |
| Dipak N. Khadke et al(2012)                     |
| Elham Alhifthy et al(2021)                      |
| EMEL GÜR et al(2002)                            |
| Fatemeh Torkashvand et al (2017)                |
| Gaonkar Neha V1 et al (2018)                    |
| Gulumser Dolgun et al (2011)                    |
| H.N. Al-Naqeeb et al (1989)                     |
| Hamsa Shaker Abdul-Nabi e al (2013)             |
| Hasan Mohamed Aljefri e al (2013)               |
| Hasmet Sarici et al(2013)                       |
| Hui-Lung Tai et al (2006)                       |
| Hui-Mei Huang et al (2020)                      |
| Ipek Ozunan Akil et al (2014)                   |
| Jae Min Chung et al (2006)                      |
| Jian Guo Wen et al (2005)                       |
| Katayoun Bakhtiar et al (2013)                  |
| Khalida Anwer Yousef et al (2010)               |
| Kharifah Mohammad Sherah et al (2019)           |
| Lutf M. Al-Zubairi et al (2018)                 |
| Mahboobeh Firouzkouhi Moghaddam et al (2014)    |
| Mahmoodzadeh Hashem et al (2013)                |
| Sevim Savaser et al (2017)                      |
| Malik Tajuddin et al (2010)                     |
| MAZHAR NAZIR CHATTA et al (2016)                |
| Miao Shang Su et al (2011)                      |
| Mitsuru KAJIWARA et al (2016)                   |
| Mohammad R. Safarinejad (2017)                  |
| Mona Madbouly Shahin et al (2017)               |

| - | 0.24 [ -0.17,  | 0.65] | 0.04 |
|---|----------------|-------|------|
|   | 0.22 [ -0.07,  | 0.51] | 0.07 |
|   | 0.18 [ -0.26,  | 0.63] | 0.03 |
|   | 0.24 [ -0.07,  | 0.55] | 0.06 |
|   | 0.15 [ -0.17,  | 0.47] | 0.06 |
|   | 0.05 [ -0.03,  | 0.13] | 0.91 |
| _ | 0.24 [ -0.23,  | 0.71] | 0.03 |
|   | 0.11 [ -0.07,  | 0.30] | 0.18 |
|   | 0.20 [ -0.09,  | 0.49] | 0.07 |
|   | 0.07 [ -0.07,  | 0.20] | 0.35 |
|   | 0.13 [ -0.24,  | 0.49] | 0.04 |
|   | 0.14 [ -0.04,  | 0.31] | 0.19 |
|   | 0.05 [ 0.00,   | 0.11] | 2.12 |
|   | 0.15 [ -0.15,  | 0.45] | 0.07 |
|   | 0.13 [ -0.06,  | 0.32] | 0.16 |
|   | 0.07 [ 0.02,   | 0.13] | 1.90 |
|   | 0.17 [ -0.05,  | 0.40] | 0.12 |
|   | 0.11 [ -0.06,  | 0.29] | 0.19 |
|   | 0.11 [ -0.21,  | 0.43] | 0.06 |
|   | 0.48 [ -0.12,  | 1.08] | 0.02 |
|   | 0.05 [ -0.06,  | 0.17] | 0.45 |
|   | 0.11 [ -0.09,  | 0.30] | 0.16 |
|   | 0.13 [ -0.28,  | 0.53] | 0.04 |
|   | 0.16 [ -0.22,  | 0.55] | 0.04 |
|   | 0.10 [ -0.07,  | 0.27] | 0.20 |
|   | 0.09 [ -0.14,  | 0.33] | 0.11 |
| - | 0.29 [ -0.08,  | 0.65] | 0.05 |
|   | 0.10 [ -0.04,  | 0.23] | 0.32 |
|   | 0.07 [ 0.01,   | 0.12] | 1.93 |
|   | 0.04 [ -0.01,  | 0.09] | 2.55 |
|   | 0.17 [ -0.23,  | 0.56] | 0.04 |
|   | 0.06 [ 0.03,   | 0.10] | 3.98 |
|   | 0.04 [ 0.00,   | 0.08] | 3.84 |
|   | 0.08 [ -0.13,  | 0.29] | 0.14 |
|   | 0.17 [ -0.15,  | 0.49] | 0.06 |
|   | - 0.76 [ 0.00, | 1.52] | 0.01 |
|   | 0.11 [ -0.01,  | 0.24] | 0.37 |
|   | 0.06 [ -0.08,  | 0.19] | 0.31 |
|   | 0.19 [ -0.09,  | 0.46] | 0.08 |
|   | 0.01 [ -0.03,  | 0.06] | 2.93 |
|   | 0.13 [ -0.07,  | 0.33] | 0.15 |
|   | 0.25 [ 0.00,   | 0.50] | 0.10 |
|   | 0.05 [ -0.01,  | 0.10] | 2.07 |
|   | 0.03 [ -0.21,  | 0.27] | 0.10 |
|   | 0.05 [ -0.00,  | 0.10] | 2.22 |
|   | 0.23 [ -0.14,  | 0.59] | 0.04 |

Fig. 5 (continued)

0.06 0.04 0.70 0.96 0.04 0.07 0.12 0.48 0.23 2.42 0.15 1.16 0.54 0.01 0.02 0.07 0.15 0.44 2.03 3.82 1.20 0.48 0.06 0.09 0.01 0.17 0.94 0.08 0.05 0.05

| Muna Ahmed Awn et al (2018)                                    |                | 0.11 [ -0.20, 0.41] |
|--|----------------|---------------------|
| Muntather Sadiq Alhejji et al (2020)                           |                | 0.11 [ -0.25, 0.48] |
| N. Pashapour et al (2008)                                      |                | 0.08 [ -0.01, 0.17] |
| HANSAKUNACHAI, TIPPAWAN et al (2005)                           |                | 0.04 [ -0.04, 0.12] |
| Issa Hazza and Hussein Tarawneh et al (2005)                   |                | 0.24 [ -0.13, 0.60] |
| Murad, Mohammed Challoob et al 2017                            |                | 0.07 [ -0.21, 0.36] |
| Nistha Shrestha et al (2020)                                   |                | 0.04 [ -0.18, 0.26] |
| Ornatcha Sirimongkolchaiyakul et al (2023)                     | _ <del>_</del> | 0.10 [ -0.01, 0.21] |
| P. CHANG et al (2015)  |                | 0.08 [ -0.08, 0.24] |
| Qing Wei Wang et al (2004)                                     | -              | 0.06 [ 0.01, 0.11]  |
| Ravi Gupta et al (2016)  |                | 0.09 [ -0.11, 0.29] |
| S.D. LEE et al (2000)  |                | 0.09 [ 0.02, 0.17]  |
| Saad S. Al-Zahrani (2014)                                      |                | 0.08 [ -0.03, 0.18] |
| Salvatore Arena and Mario Patricolo (2017)                     |                | 0.30 [ -0.65, 1.26] |
| Sameena Shah et al (2018)                                      |                | 0.43 [ -0.19, 1.05] |
| Shatha Abdul-Rahman et al (2008)                               |                | 0.14 [ -0.16, 0.44] |
| Shitanshu Srivastava et al (2012)                              |                | 0.13 [ -0.07, 0.33] |
| Sunayna Pandey et al (2020)                                    |                | 0.07 [ -0.05, 0.18] |
| TSANG-WEE CHER et al (2002)                                    | -              | 0.06 [ 0.00, 0.11]  |
| Xi Zheng Wang et al (2019)                                     | +              | 0.07 [ 0.03, 0.11]  |
| XIANCHEN LIU et al (2000)                                      |                | 0.04 [ -0.03, 0.11] |
| Y KANAHESWARI (2003)   |                | 0.08 [ -0.03, 0.19] |
| M. Mohammadpour et al (2012)                                   |                | 0.07 [ -0.26, 0.39] |
| EmamGhoraishy F. et al (2004)                                  |                | 0.17 [ -0.09, 0.42] |
| Hakim A. et al (2015)  |                | 0.32 [ -0.46, 1.10] |
| Shafi Pour Z et al (2014)                                      |                | 0.07 [ -0.12, 0.26] |
| Ranjbar kochaksaraei F et al (2003)                            |                | 0.02 [ -0.06, 0.10] |
| Hashem M et al (2013)  |                | 0.19 [ -0.09, 0.47] |
| Majeed Hameed and Bilal Mohammed (2019)                        |                | 0.15 [ -0.19, 0.49] |
| Alaa A Salih (2011)  |                | 0.21 [ -0.15, 0.57] |
| Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.00$ , $I^2 = 0.00\%$ , $H^2 = 1.00$ |                | 0.06 [ 0.05, 0.07]  |
| Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$ : Q(75) = 38.72, p = 1.00        |                |                     |
| Test of $\theta = 0$ : $z = 10.68$ , $p = 0.00$                |                |                     |

## Fig. 5 (continued)

Regarding the associated factors of NE, the pooled odds ratio indicates that children and adolescents with a family history of NE are nearly 1.5 times more likely to develop the condition compared to their counterparts without such a history, with an AOR of 1.49 (95% CI: 1.26–1.71). This finding is consistent with existing literature, which suggests a strong genetic predisposition to NE. Previous studies have established that familial patterns of bedwetting are common, highlighting the importance of genetic and environmental influences in the etiology of NE [9, 141, 142]. Understanding these familial links is crucial for identifying at-risk populations and developing targeted intervention strategies.

Furthermore, the pooled odds ratio indicates that children and adolescents with a history of UTIs) are nearly four times more likely to develop NE compared to those without a history of such infections, with an AOR of 3.89 (95% CI: 2.93–4.46). This association underscores the

| Europe  |          |                     |       |
|---|----------|---------------------|-------|
| J.Marleen Linde et al (2018)                                    |          | 0.02 [ -0.15, 0.18] | 0.22  |
| Juliet Essen and Catherine Peckham (1986)                       |          | 0.12 [ 0.06, 0.18]  | 1.58  |
| K.U. Özkan et al (2004)   |          | 0.10 [ -0.01, 0.20] | 0.55  |
| Katja Karni <sup>°</sup> cnik et al (2012)                      |          | 0.12 [ -0.07, 0.32] | 0.16  |
| Kursat B. Carman et al (2017)                                   |          | 0.21 [ 0.03, 0.38]  | 0.19  |
| M. R. JARVELIN et al (1986)                                     | -        | 0.06 [ -0.02, 0.15] | 0.78  |
| Maja Miskulin et al (2010)                                      | -        | 0.01 [ -0.03, 0.05] | 3.88  |
| N Semoli et al (2009)   |          | 0.09 [ -0.07, 0.25] | 0.23  |
| Necmettin Penbegü et al (2012)                                  |          | 0.26 [ 0.11, 0.41]  | 0.25  |
| J B Devlin et al 1991   |          | 0.13 [ -0.04, 0.30] | 0.22  |
| Pietro Ferrara et al (2019)                                     |          | 0.07 [ 0.06, 0.09]  | 27.95 |
| R J rona et al (1997)   | -        | 0.10 [ 0.05, 0.15]  | 2.32  |
| RICHARD J. BUTLER et al (2005)                                  | -        | 0.03 [ -0.01, 0.06] | 4.85  |
| S Mattsson (1994)   |          | 0.08 [ -0.27, 0.43] | 0.05  |
| Seçil Özkan et al (2010)  | -        | 0.09 [ 0.04, 0.14]  | 2.42  |
| Sedat Aydin et al (2008)  |          | 0.09 [ -0.08, 0.27] | 0.19  |
| SEMA UĞURALP et al (2003)                                       |          | 0.05 [ -0.07, 0.17] | 0.41  |
| Sinead Hanafin (1998)   |          | 0.11 [ 0.03, 0.19]  | 0.90  |
| Tine Caroc Warner et al (2019)                                  |          | 0.10 [ 0.03, 0.18]  | 1.02  |
| Yusuf Cetin Doganer et al (2015)                                | <u> </u> | 0.10 [ -0.03, 0.23] | 0.36  |
| Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.00$ , $I^2 = 37.73\%$ , $H^2 = 1.61$ |          | 0.08 [ 0.06, 0.10]  |       |
| Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$ : Q(19) = 30.51, p = 0.05         |          |                     |       |
| Test of $\theta = 0$ : $z = 7.27$ , $p = 0.00$                  |          |                     |       |
| North America   |          |                     |       |
| SRIRANGAM SHREERAM et al (2008)                                 |          | 0.05 [ -0.08, 0.19] | 0.32  |
| Stephanie Gonzalez Mejias et al (2008)                          |          | 0.28 [ -0.12, 0.68] | 0.04  |
| Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.00$ , $I^2 = 6.21\%$ , $H^2 = 1.07$  |          | 0.08 [ -0.06, 0.23] |       |
| Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$ : Q(1) = 1.07, p = 0.30           |          |                     |       |
| Test of $\theta = 0$ : $z = 1.11$ , $p = 0.27$                  | ſ        |                     |       |
| South America   |          |                     |       |
| Denise M. Mota et al(2004)                                      |          | 0.11 [ -0.00, 0.21] | 0.53  |
| Mariana Lima Portocarrero et al (2011)                          |          | 0.05 [ -0.39, 0.49] | 0.03  |
| Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.00$ , $I^2 = 0.00\%$ , $H^2 = 1.00$  |          | 0.10 [ -0.00, 0.21] |       |
| Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$ : Q(1) = 0.06, p = 0.81           |          |                     |       |
| Test of $\theta = 0$ : $z = 1.95$ , $p = 0.05$                  |          |                     |       |
| Overall   |          | 0.07 [ 0.06, 0.08]  |       |
| Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.00$ , $I^2 = 0.00\%$ , $H^2 = 1.00$  |          |                     |       |
| Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$ : Q(126) = 89.97, p = 0.99        |          |                     |       |
| Test of $\theta = 0$ : $z = 17.53$ , $p = 0.00$                 |          |                     |       |
| Test of group differences: $Q_b(5) = 10.52$ , $p = 0.06$        |          | —                   |       |
|   | 5 0 .5 1 | 1.5                 |       |
| Random-effects DerSimonian-Laird model                          |          |                     |       |

Fig. 5 (continued)

|   |   |   |   |   | Effect size        | Weight |
|---|---|---|---|---|--------------------|--------|
| Study   |   |   |   |   | with 95% CI        | (%)    |
| Dayanand P. Nakate et al (2019)                                 |   |   |   |   | 1.59 [ 1.25, 1.94] | 13.81  |
| Mahmoodzadeh et al (2013)                                       |   |   | _ |   | 1.14 [ 0.66, 1.63] | 10.45  |
| BB Kalo and H Bella 1996  |   |   |   |   | 1.19 [ 0.93, 1.46] | 15.91  |
| Xi Zheng Wang et al (2019)                                      |   |   | - |   | 1.80 [ 1.58, 2.02] | 17.12  |
| Shitanshu Srivastava et al 2012                                 |   |   | ⊢ |   | 1.46 [ 1.16, 1.77] | 14.79  |
| Safaa Mohammed El-Sayed Ahmed et al 2022                        |   |   |   |   | 2.18 [ 1.21, 3.15] | 4.24   |
| Reda Goweda et al 2020  |   | - |   |   | 2.28 [ 1.41, 3.15] | 5.05   |
| Muntather Sadiq Alhejji et al 2020                              | _ |   | - |   | 1.59 [ 0.31, 2.86] | 2.71   |
| Mohammad R. Safarinejad 2007                                    |   |   |   |   | 1.22 [ 0.70, 1.75] | 9.71   |
| Mohammad Alkot and Mohsen Deeb 2012                             |   |   |   |   | 1.02 [ 0.26, 1.77] | 6.21   |
| Overall   |   |   |   |   | 1.49 [ 1.26, 1.71] |        |
| Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.06$ , $I^2 = 59.83\%$ , $H^2 = 2.49$ |   |   |   |   |                    |        |
| Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$ : Q(9) = 22.40, p = 0.01          |   |   |   |   |                    |        |
| Test of $\theta = 0$ : $z = 12.96$ , $p = 0.00$                 |   |   |   |   |                    |        |
|   | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |                    |        |

Random-effects DerSimonian-Laird model







significant impact of UTIs on the development of NE, as recurrent infections can lead to bladder dysfunction and increased irritability of the urinary tract [5]. Prior studies have suggested that the inflammatory response associated with UTIs may contribute to alterations in bladder capacity and function, thereby increasing the likelihood of enuresis in affected individuals [12]. These findings highlight the importance of monitoring urinary health in children, particularly those with a history of UTIs, to mitigate the risk of developing NE.

Additionally, the pooled odds ratio indicates that children and adolescents who have experienced stressful events are nearly twice as likely to develop NE compared to those without such a history, with an adjusted odds

|  |     |   |          |     |   | Effect size        | Weight |
|--|-----|---|----------|-----|---|--------------------|--------|
| Study  |     |   |          |     |   | with 95% CI        | (%)    |
| Dayanand P. Nakate et al (2019)                                |     | - |          |     |   | 1.76 [ 1.35, 2.17] | 13.94  |
| Mahmoodzadeh et al (2013)                                      |     |   |          |     |   | 2.20 [ 1.54, 2.86] | 5.38   |
| BB Kalo and H Bella 1996                                       |     |   | <u> </u> |     |   | 1.90 [ 1.70, 2.10] | 55.80  |
| Hasan Mohamed Aljefri et al 2013                               | -   |   |          |     |   | 1.91 [ 1.60, 2.22] | 24.88  |
| Overall  |     |   |          |     |   | 1.90 [ 1.75, 2.05] |        |
| Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.00$ , $I^2 = 0.00\%$ , $H^2 = 1.00$ |     |   |          |     |   |                    |        |
| Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$ : Q(3) = 1.25, p = 0.74          |     |   |          |     |   |                    |        |
| Test of $\theta = 0$ : z = 24.39, p = 0.00                     |     |   |          |     |   |                    |        |
|  | 1.5 | I | 2        | 2.5 | 3 |                    |        |

Random-effects DerSimonian-Laird model

Fig. 8 A forest plot for the association of parental death with nocturnal enuresis



Random-effects DerSimonian-Laird model

Fig. 9 A forest plot for the association of male sex with nocturnal enuresis

ratio (AOR) of 1.90 (95% CI: 1.75–2.05). This finding underscores the significant impact that stressful experiences can have on a child's psychological and emotional well-being, which may manifest in various behavioral and developmental issues, including NE. Research has demonstrated that adverse childhood experiences—such as the death of a loved one, parental divorce, relocation from a permanent home, and the presence of long-term illness—can lead to increased stress and anxiety, both of which are recognized risk factors for the development of enuresis [9, 11]. Consequently, understanding the implications of familial disruptions is crucial for clinicians and caregivers in identifying at-risk populations and implementing effective interventions to support affected children.

Furthermore, the pooled odds ratio indicates that male children and adolescents are approximately 1.63 times more likely to develop NE compared to their female counterparts, with an AOR of 1.63 (95% CI: 1.31–1.94). This finding is potentially due to biological, psychological, and social factors. Boys are more likely to experience delays in bladder maturation and may exhibit different coping mechanisms in response to stress. Additionally, societal expectations regarding gender behavior may influence reporting and diagnosis, further contributing to the observed disparity [144]. Understanding these gender



Fig. 10 A forest plot for the association of first birth order with nocturnal enuresis

differences is essential for developing targeted interventions and providing appropriate support for children affected by NE.

Finally, the pooled odds ratio indicates that children and adolescents who are first-born are 50% less likely to develop NE compared to those who are subsequent births, with an AOR of 0.50 (95% CI: 0.37–0.62). This finding suggests that birth order may play a significant role in the prevalence of NE, potentially due to differences in parenting practices, sibling dynamics, and environmental factors. Research has shown that firstborn children often receive more focused attention and resources from parents, which may enhance emotional and developmental support during early childhood [143]. Understanding the implications of birth order can be valuable for clinicians in identifying at-risk populations and developing tailored interventions for families dealing with NE.

This study represents the first systematic review of the global prevalence of nocturnal enuresis (NE) among children and adolescents. We conducted a meta-analysis to synthesize prevalence estimates without imposing restrictions on publication dates, thereby maximizing the inclusion of relevant studies. The results exhibited homogeneity across the included article, which enhances the generalizability of our findings. Additionally, we performed subgroup analyses based on the year of publication and geographic regions, facilitating an understanding of trends in NE prevalence over decades and highlighting the burden of the condition in different continents.

However, one limitation of this meta-analysis is the variability in cut-off points and assessment criteria employed by researchers, which may differ based on the study's geographical context. Furthermore, some articles presented challenges in obtaining full-length information due to accessibility issues.

## Conclusion

In this systematic review, the summary estimate of nocturnal enuresis among children and adolescents was approximately 7.2%. Family history, urinary tract infection, stressful events, birth order, and sex were statistically significant factors.

Given these findings, it is crucial for healthcare providers to implement routine screening for nocturnal enuresis, especially for children presenting with known risk factors such as a family history of the condition or a history of urinary tract infections. Early identification and intervention can help mitigate the psychological and social impacts of nocturnal enuresis, which can be profound and long-lasting. Furthermore, the development of targeted interventions and support mechanisms is essential. This may include educational resources for families, behavioral therapies, and, when appropriate, pharmacological treatments. Recognizing the multifactorial nature of nocturnal enuresis will allow clinicians to tailor their approaches to individual patients effectively, thereby improving outcomes and quality of life for affected children and their families. We recommend that future research focus on developing specific intervention strategies tailored to at-risk populations and further investigating the long-term psychological effects of NE on affectedchildren.

#### Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.or g/10.1186/s13034-025-00880-x.

Supplementary Material 1

## Acknowledgements

We acknowledge the authors of the included studies for their original contribution.

### Author contributions

MAA: Conceptualization, data curation, formal analysis, methodology, software, visualization, writing- original draft, writing- review & editing. TEH: data curation, formal analysis, methodology, writing- original draft, writing-

review & editing. MAM: data curation, formal analysis, methodology, writingoriginal draft, writing- review & editing. ABZ: Conceptualization, formal analysis, methodology, software, writing- original draft, writing- review & editing MAB: Conceptualization, data curation, formal analysis, methodology, writing- original draft, writing- review & editing YAD: formal analysis, methodology, writing- original draft, writing- review & editing.

#### Funding

None.

### Data availability

Data is provided within the manuscript or supplementary information files, and additional data will be available upon the request of the corresponding author.

## Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pediatrics and Child Health Nursing, College of Health Sciences, Woldia University, Woldia, Ethiopia <sup>2</sup>Department of Nursing, College of Health Sciences, Woldia University, Woldia, Ethiopia

## Received: 21 December 2024 / Accepted: 7 March 2025 Published online: 20 March 2025

#### References

- Ahmed MM, Fakher WA, Abd ELMoneim LA, Said M. Disruptive behavioral disorders among school children with primary monosymptomatic nocturnal enuresis at Cairo university hospitals. Egypt Fam Med J. 2021;5(2):106–17.
- 2. Ko JD, Hazen EP. Childhood elimination disorders. In Tasman's psychiatry. Cham: Springer International Publishing; 2024. pp. 2347–72.
- Nijman RJ, Butler R, Van Gool J, Yeung CK, Bower W, Hjalmas K. Conservative management of urinary incontinence in childhood. Incontinence. 2002:515–51.
- Butler RJ, Holland P. The three systems: a conceptual way of understanding nocturnal enuresis. Scand J Urol Nephrol. 2000;34(4):270–7.
- Austin PF, Bauer SB, Bower W, Chase J, Franco I, Hoebeke P, Rittig S, Walle JV, von Gontard A, Wright A, Yang SS. The standardization of terminology of lower urinary tract function in children and adolescents: Update report from the standardization committee of the International Children's Continence Society. Neurourology and urodynamics. 2016 Apr;35(4):471-81.
- Nevéus T, Fonseca E, Franco I, Kawauchi A, Kovacevic L, Nieuwhof-Leppink A, et al. Management andtreatment of nocturnal enuresis—an updated standardization document from the international children's continence society. J Pediatr Urol. 2020;16(1):10–9.
- Butler RJ. Impact of nocturnal enuresis on children and young people. Scand J Urol Nephrol. 2001;35(3):169–76.
- Siroosbakht S. Are vitamin D levels linked to primary monosymptomatic nocturnal enuresis in children? Six years of experience about a controversy in medicine: a case-control study. Iran J Pediatr. 2023;33(3).
- 9. Joinson C, Sullivan S, Gontard A, Von, Heron J. Early childhood psychological factors and risk for bedwetting at school age in a UK cohort. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2016;25(5):519–28.
- Jørgensen CS, Horsdal HT, Rajagopal VM, Grove J, Als TD, Kamperis K, Nyegaard M, Walters GB, Eðvarðsson VÖ, Stefánsson H, Nordentoft M. Identification of genetic loci associated with nocturnal enuresis: a genomewide association study. The Lancet Child & Adolescent Health. 2021 Mar 1;5(3):201-9.
- Joinson C, Sullivan S, Gontard A, Von, Heron J. Stressful events in early childhood and developmental trajectories of bedwetting at school age. 2016;41(April):1002–10.

- 12. Lorenzo AJ, Rickard M, Santos JD. The role of bladder function in the pathogenesis and treatment of urinary tract infections in toilet-trained children. Pediatric Nephrology. 2020 Aug;35:1395-408.
- Siroosbakht S, Rezakhaniha B. Is renal bladder ultrasound necessary in monosymptomatic primary nocturnal enuresis? A case control study. J Compr Ped. 2018 Jan 1;9(4):e69006.
- Nevéus T et al. Management and treatment of nocturnal enuresis—an updated standardization document from the international children's continence society. J Pediatr Urol 16, Issue 1, 10–9.
- Harris J, Lipson A, Dos Santos J. Evaluation and management of enuresis in the general paediatric setting. Paediatrics & Child Health. 2023 Oct 1;28(6):362-8.
- Alamri A, Singh VP, Alshyarba MHM, Abdullah A, Ogran M, Alsuayri A, et al. Prevalence of nocturnal enuresis among children of Aseer region in Saudi Arabia. Urol Ann. 2024;16(1):81–6.
- 17. Ali MBChB AKM. Prevalence of enuresis in sample of Iraqi Children.
- Alshahrani A, Selim M, Abbas M. Prevalence of nocturnal enuresis among children in primary health care centers of family and community medicine, PSMMC, Riyadh City, KSA. J Fam Med Prim Care. 2018;7(5):937.
- Ismail AM, Ismail A, Abdelbasser K, Abdel-Moneim M. Prevalence and risk factors of primary nocturnal enuresis in primary school children in gena governorate-Egypt. Egypt J Neurol Psychiat Neurosurg. 2013;50. https://www .researchgate.net/publication/286315529.
- Hamed A, Yousf F, Hussein MM. Prevalence of nocturnal enuresis and related risk factors in school-age children in Egypt: an epidemiological study. World J Urol. 2017;35(3):459–65.
- 21. Abed AH, Habib OS, Majeed MN. Prevalence of enuresis in Nassiriyah city-Thi Qar Governorate. Med J Basrah Univ. 2009;27:42–5.
- Gunes A, Gunes G, Acik Y, Akilli A. The epidemiology and factors associated with nocturnal enuresis among boarding and daytime school children in Southeast of Turkey: a cross sectional study. BMC Public Health. 2009;9.
- 23. Hassan AZA, El-Shazly HM, Abu Salem ME, Salem A. Recommended citation. Menoufia Med J. 2017;29(4):35. https://www.menoufia-med-j.com/journal
- Hedayatpoor B, Mo AF, Divband A. An epidemiological study of enuresis among primary school children in Isfahan. Iran Saudi Med J. 2006;27(10):1572–7.
- Alanazi AN, Alanazi RS, Alanazi EN, Alanazi RM, Rabbani U, Alanazi RS, Aalenezi RM. Prevalence of nocturnal enuresis among children and its association with the mental health of mothers in northern Saudi Arabia. Cureus. 2022 Feb 15;14(2).
- 26. Solanki A, Desai S. Prevalence and risk factors of nocturnal enuresis among school age children in rural areas. Int J Res Med Sci. 2014;2(1):202.
- Mohammed AH, Saleh AG, Al Zoheiry I. Frequency of bedwetting among primary school children in Benha city, Egypt. Egypt J Med Hum Genet. 2014;15(3):287–92. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmhg.2014.01.005
- Ozkaya E, Aydın SC, Yazıcı M, Dundaröz R. Enuresis Nocturna in children with asthma: prevalence and associated risk factors. Italian Journal of Pediatrics. 2016 Dec;42:1-6.
- De Sousa A, Kapoor H, Jagtap J, Sen M. Prevalence and factors affecting enuresis amongst primary school children. Indian Journal of urology. 2007 Oct 1;23(4):354-7.
- Erdogan A, Akkurt H, Boettjer NK, Yurtseven E, Can G, Kiran S. Prevalence and behavioural correlates of enuresis in young children. J Paediatr Child Health. 2008;44(5):297–301.
- Gürnüš B, Vurgun N, Lekili M, Işcan A, Müezzinoğlu T, Büyüksu C. Prevalence of nocturnal enuresis and accompanying factors in children aged 7–11 years in Turkey. Acta Paediatr. 1999;88(12):1369–72.
- Merhi BA, Hammoud A, Ziade F, Kamel R, Rajab M. Mono-Symptomatic nocturnal enuresis in Lebanese children: prevalence, relation with obesity, and psychological effect. Clin Med Insights Pediatr. 2014;8:CMPed.S13068.
- Kalo BB, Bella H, Enuresis. Prevalence and associated factors among primary school children in Saudi Arabia. Acta Paediatr Int J Paediatr. 1996;85(10):1217–22.
- Choudhary B, Patil R, Bhatt GC, Pakhare AP, Goyal A, P A, Dhingra B, Tamaria KC. Association of Sleep Disordered Breathing with Mono-Symptomatic Nocturnal Enuresis: A Study among School Children of Central India. PLoS One. 2016 May 18;11(5):e0155808. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0155808. PMID: 27191620; PMCID: PMC4871538.
- Esezobor CI, Balogun MR, Ladapo TA. Prevalence and predictors of childhood enuresis in Southwest Nigeria: findings from a cross-sectional population study. J Pediatr Urol. 2015;11(6):338.e1.e6.

- Yazici CM, Nalbantoglu B, Topcu B, Dogan C. Prevalence of nocturnal enuresis and associated factors in schoolchildren in Western Turkey. Can J Urol. 2012;19(4):6383-8.
- Ozden C, Ozdal OL, Altinova S, Oguzulgen I, Urgancioglu G, Memis A. Prevalence and associated factors of enuresis in Turkish children. International braz j urol. 2007;33:216-22.
- Nakate DP, Vaidya SS, Gaikwad SY, Patil RS, Ghogare MS. Prevalence and determinants of nocturnal enuresis in school going children in Southern Maharashtra, India. Int J Contemp Pediatr. 2019;6(2):564.
- Mota DM, Barros AJD, Matijasevich A, Santos IS. Prevalence of enuresis and urinary symptoms at age 7 years in the 2004 birth cohort from Pelotas, Brazil. J Pediatr (Rio J). 2015;91(1):52–8.
- Khadke DN, Dasila P, Kadam NN, Siddiqui MS. Prevalence of nocturnal enuresis among children aged 05 to 10 years. Int J Contemp Pediatr. 2023;10(12):1783–8.
- Alhifthy EH, Habib L, Al-Makarem AA, AlGhamdi M, Alsultan D, Aldhamer F, Buhlagah R, Almubarak FM, Almufadhi E, Bukhamsin GM, Zadah MH. Prevalence of nocturnal enuresis among Saudi children population. Cureus. 2020 Jan 15;12(1).
- Hammad EM, El-Sedfy GO, Ahmed SM. Prevalence and risk factors of nocturnal enuresis in a rural area of Assiut Governorate. Alexandria J Pediatr. 2005;19(2):429.
- Gür E, Turhan P, Can G, Akkus S, Sever L, Güzelöz S, Çifçili S, Arvas A. Enuresis: prevalence, risk factors and urinary pathology among school children in Istanbul, Turkey. Pediatrics international. 2004 Feb;46(1):58-63.
- Etuk IS, Ikpeme O, Essiet GA. Nocturnal Enuresis And Its Treatment Among Primary School Children In Calabar Nigeria. Nigerian Journal of Paediatrics. 2011;38(2):78-81.
- 45. Torkashvand F, Rezaeian M, Bagheani T, Ali M, Dawarani A, Zarafshan H et al. Prevalence of nocturnal enuresis in school-age children in Rafsanjan. Nocturnal enuresis in school-age children in Rafsanjan-Torkashvand F et al. J Pediatr Nephrol. 2015;3. http://journals.sbmu.ac.ir/jpn
- Abu Salem M, El Shazly H, Badr S, Younis F, Derbala S. Epidemiology of nocturnal enuresis among primary school children (6–12 years) in gharbia Governorate. Menoufia Med J. 2020;33(1):50.
- Gaonkar NV, Irmina NJM, Praveen BS, Sanjay PT, Rajeev LK, Venkatesh AM, et al. Prevalence of nocturnal enuresis in 6–15 years school children and its awareness among parents in Dharwad. Indian J Physiother Occup Ther - Int J. 2018;12(3):11.
- Dolgun G. Savaser S, Balci S, Yazici S. Prevalence of nocturnal enuresis and related factors in children aged 5–13 in Istanbul. Iran J Pediatr. 2012;22. http://ijp.tums.ac.ir
- 49. HN AN. Epidemiology and parental perception of nocturnal enuresis in Arab school children. Annals of Saudi Medicine. 1990; 10 (5): 544-8
- Shaker H, -Nabi A, Habeeb SI. Frequency of enuresis in primary school children in Basra and its impact on their growth. Asian J Pharm Nurs Med Sci. 2013. www.ajouronline.com.
- 51. Aljefri HM, Basurreh OA, Yunus F, Bawazir AA. Nocturnal enuresis among primary school children. Saudi J Kidney Dis Transpl. 2013;24(6):1233–41.
- Sarici H, Telli O, Ozgur BC, Demirbas A, Ozgur S, Karagoz MA. Prevalence of nocturnal enuresis and its influence on quality of life in school-aged children. J Pediatr Urol. 2016;12(3):159.e1-159.e6.
- Tai HL, Chang YJ, Chang SCC, Chen G, Den, Chang CP, Chou MC. The epidemiology and factors associated with nocturnal enuresis and its severity in primary school children in Taiwan. Acta Paediatr Int J Paediatr. 2007;96(2):242–5.
- Huang HM, Wei J, Sharma S, Bao Y, Li F, Song JW et al. Prevalence and risk factors of nocturnal enuresis among children ages 5–12 years in Xi'an, China: a cross-sectional study. BMC Pediatr. 2020;20(1).
- Akil IO, Ozmen D, Cetinkaya AC. Prevalence of urinary incontinence and lower urinary tract symptoms in school-age children. Urology journal. 2014 Jul 3;11(3):1602-8.
- Nzamu IM. Prevalence of nocturnal enuresis and associated factors among 6–14 year old children attending schools in a rural district in Kenya. Doctoral dissertation, University of Nairobi, Kenya. 2012.
- Chinawa JM, Obu HA, Manyike PC, Odetunde OI. Nocturnal enuresis among school-age children in south-eastern Nigeria: a concealed social malaise. Int J Trop Dis Health. 2014;4. www.sciencedomain.org.
- Linde JM, Nijman RJM, Trzpis M, Broens PMA. Prevalence of urinary incontinence and other lower urinary tract symptoms in children in the Netherlands. J Pediatr Urol. 2019;15(2):164.e1–164.e7.

- Chung JM, Lee SD, Kang D, II, Kwon DD, Kim KS, Kim SY, et al. Prevalence and associated factors of overactive bladder in Korean children 5–13 years old: a nationwide multicenter study. Urology. 2009;73(1):63–7.
- Wen JG, Wang QW, Chen Y, Wen JJ, Liu K. An epidemiological study of primary nocturnal enuresis in Chinese children and adolescents. Eur Urol. 2006;49(6):1107–13.
- Essen J, Peckham C. Nocturnal enuresis in childhood. Developmental Medicine & Child Neurology. 1976 Oct;18(5):577-89.
- Osungbade KO, Oshiname FO. Prevalence and perception of nocturnal enuresis in children of a rural community in Southwestern Nigeria. Trop Doctor. 2003;33(4):234–6.
- Özkan KU, Garipardic M, Toktamis A, Karabiber H, Sahinkanat T. Enuresis prevalence and accompanying factors in schoolchildren: a questionnaire study from Southeast Anatolia. Urol Int. 2004;73(2):149–55.
- Eldin K, Salih MA, Ahmed FE, Elfakey WE, Hussien K, Ahmed FE et al. Characteristics and aetiological factors of nocturnal enuresis in Sudanese children. Am J Med Dent Sci. Physiology. 2013; https://www.researchgate.net/publicati on/256297070
- Bakhtiar K, Pournia Y, Ebrahimzadeh F, Farhadi A, Shafizadeh F, Hosseinabadi R. Prevalence of nocturnal enuresis and its associated factors in primary school and preschool children of Khorramabad in 2013. Int J Pediatr. 2014;2014:1–7.
- Karničnik K, Koren A, Kos N, Marčun Varda N. Prevalence and quality of life of Slovenian children with primary nocturnal enuresis. International Journal of Nephrology. 2012;2012(1):509012.
- Yousef KA, Basaleem HO, MT Bin Y. Epidemiology of nocturnal enuresis in basic schoolchildren in aden Governorate, Yemen. Transplantation. 2011;22(4):825–8.
- Sherah K, Elsharief M, Barkat N, Jafery A. Prevalence of nocturnal enuresis in school-age children in Saudi Arabia. Int J Med Dev Ctries. 2019;669–75.
- Carman KB, Ceran O, Kaya C, Nuhoglu C, Karaman MI. Nocturnal enuresis in Turkey: prevalence and accompanying factors in different socioeconomic environments. Urol Int. 2008;80(4):362–6.
- Al-Zubairi L, Bin Mohanna M, Al-Bada'ani T. Prevalence of nocturnal enuresis among schoolchildren in Sana'a City, Yemen. Yemeni J Med Sci. 2018;12(1). ht tps://ust.edu/ojs/index.php/yjmp/article/view/1361
- 71. Järvelin MR, Vikeväinen-Tervonen L, Moilanen I, Huttunen NP. Enuresis in seven-year-old children. Acta Paediatrica. 1988 Jan;77(1):148-53.
- 72. Moghaddam MF, Sadeghi Bojd S, Pishjoo M, Ghafari A. The prevalence of enuresis in school age children in Zahedan. Int J Med Invest. 2015;3:313–317. http://www.intjmi.com
- 73. Amestejani, Morteza, Karamyar, Mohammad, Nikibakhsh AA. Prevalence of nocturnal enuresis in school aged children the role of personal and parents related socio-economic and educational factors. Iran J Pediatr. 2013;23. http://ijp.tums.ac.ir
- 74. Savaser S, Kizilkaya Beji N, Aslan E, Gozen D. The Prevalence of Diurnal Urinary Incontinence and Enuresis and Quality of Life: Sample of School. Urol J. 2018 Jul 10;15(4):173-179. doi: 10.22037/uj.v0i0.3982. PMID: 29308577.
- Miskulin M, Miskulin I, Mujkic A, Dumic A, Puntaric D, Buljan V, Bilic-Kirin V, Juretic-Kovac D. Enuresis in school children from eastern Croatia. Turk J Pediatr. 2010 Jul-Aug;52(4):393-9. PMID: 21043385.
- Tajuddin M, Khan N, Maqsood SM. Frequency of enuresis and the factors associated with it in School-going children of Karachi, Pakistan. J Dow Univ Health Sci (JDUHS). 2016;10(1):3–8.
- Fockema MW, Candy GP, Kruger D, Haffejee M. Enuresis in South African children: prevalence, associated factors and parental perception of treatment. BJU Int. 2012;110(11 C).
- Portocarrero ML, Portocarrero ML, Sobral MM, Lyra I, Lordêlo P, Barroso U. Prevalence of enuresis and daytime urinary incontinence in children and adolescents with sickle cell disease. J Urol. 2012;187(3):1037–40.
- 79. Chatta MN, Ahmed NA, Gul MS. Frequency of nocturnal enuresis in rural areas of Sialkot. Pak J Med Sci. 2016;10(1):253–5.
- Su MS, Li AM, So HK, Au CT, Ho C, Wing YK. Nocturnal enuresis in children: prevalence, correlates, and relationship with obstructive sleep apnea. J Pediatr. 2011;159(2).
- Aloni MN, Ekila MB, Ekulu PM, Aloni ML, Magoga K. Nocturnal enuresis in children in Kinshasa, Democratic Republic of Congo. Acta Paediatr. 2012 Oct;101(10):e475-8. doi: 10.1111/j.1651-2227.2012.02791.x. Epub 2012 Aug 13. PMID: 22834641.
- Kajiwara M, Inoue K, Mutaguchi K, Usui T. The prevalence of overactive bladder and nocturnal enuresis in Japanese early adolescents: a questionnaire survey. Hinyokika Kiyo. 2006 Feb;52(2):107-11. PMID: 16541763.

- Alkot M, Deeb M, Alkot M, Deeb M. Nocturnal enuresis among school children in Menofia Governorate, Egypt; a hidden problem. J Am Sci. 2012;8. http ://www.americanscience.orghttp//www.americanscience.org.
- Safarinejad MR. Prevalence of nocturnal enuresis, risk factors, associated familial factors and urinary pathology among school children in Iran. J Pediatr Urol. 2007;3(6):443–52.
- Shahin M, The epidemiology and factors associated withnocturnal enuresis among school and preschool children in Hail City, Saudi Arabia: a crosssectional study. Int J Adv Res. 2017;5(4):2048–58.
- Awn MA, Ali N, Al Saqer H, Al Laith D, Al Sayyad A. Prevalence and factors associated with nocturnal enuresis among children attending primary care in Bahrain. J Bahrain Med Soc. 2018;30(1):14–21.
- Muntather Sadiq Alheiji, et al. "Prevalence of Nocturnal Enuresis among Primary School Students in Jeddah - Saudi Arabia". ECNeurology 13.1 (2021): 50-61.
- Unalacak M, Söğüt A, Aktunç E, Demircan N, Altın R. Enuresis nocturna prevalence, and risk factors among school age children in northwest Turkey. Eur J Gen Med. 2004;1.
- Semolič N, Ravnikar A, Meglič A, Japelj-Pavešić B, Kenda RB. The occurrence of primary nocturnal enuresis and associated factors in 5-year-old outpatients in Slovenia. Acta Paediatr Int J Paediatr. 2009;98(12):1994–8.
- Pashapour N, Golmahammadlou S, Mahmoodzadeh H. Nocturnal enuresis and its treatment among primary-school children in Oromieh, Islamic Republic of Iran. East Mediterr Health J. 2008;14:376-80.
- Penbegül N, Çelik H, Palanci Y, Yildirim K, Atar M, Kemal Hatipoğlu N, et al. Diyarbakır Ili Bir Grup Okul Çağı Çocuğunda Enürezis Nokturna Prevelansı. Turk Urol Derg. 2013;39(2):101–5.
- 92. Assimamaw NT, Kebede AK, Bazezew Genetu K. Effects of sex, toilet training, stress, and caffeine on nocturnal enuresis among school children in Gondar town, the metropolitan City of Ethiopia: a community-based study in 2023. Front Pediatr. 2024;12(June):1–11.
- Hansakunachai T, Ruangdaraganon N, Udomsubpayakul U, Sombuntham T, Kotchabhakdi N. Epidemiology of enuresis among school-age children in Thailand. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2005;26(5):356–60.
- 94. Hazza I, Tarawneh H. Primary nocturnal enuresis among school children in Jordan. Saudi J Kidney Dis Transplant. 2002;13(4):478–80.
- 95. Murad MC, Obaid AA, Ali FM. Prevalence of nocturnal enuresis and its associated ultrasonic findings in children of Wasit. Syst Rev Pharm. 2020;11(10).
- 96. Devlin JB. Prevalence and risk factors for childhood nocturnal enuresis. Ir Med J. 1991;84(4):118–20.
- 97. Mbibu NH, Ameh EA, Shehu AU, Wammanda RD. The prevalence of enuresis among primary school children in Zaria, Nigeria. Nigerian Journal of Surgical Research. 2005;7(1):187-90.
- Shrestha N, Sahukhala S, K C D, Sandalcidi D, Adhikari SP. Prevalence of urinary incontinence in school going children: a cross-sectional study. J Nepal Health Res Counc. 2021;18(4):676–80.
- Ibrahim NH, Tolessa D, Mannekhulihe E. Prevalence and Factors Associated with Enuresis among Children in Adama City, Oromia Regional State, Ethiopia. Int J Physiatry. 2021;7(021):10-23937.
- 100. Sirimongkolchaiyakul O, Sutheparank C, Amornchaicharoensuk Y. The Prevalence of Nocturnal Enuresis in Bangkok, Thailand: A Descriptive and Questionnaire Survey of 5 to 15 Year-Old School Students. Global Pediatric Health. 2023 Jul;10:2333794X231189675.
- Anyanwu OU, Ibekwe RC, Orji ML. Nocturnal enuresis among Nigerian children and its association with sleep, behavior and school performance. Indian Pediatr. 2015 Jul 1;52(7):587-9.
- 102. Chang P, Chen WJ, Tsai WY, Chiu YN. An epidemiological study of nocturnal enuresis in Taiwanese children. BJU Int. 2001;87(7):678–81.
- 103. Ferrara P, Franceschini G, Bianchi Di Castelbianco F, Bombace R, Villani A, Corsello G. Epidemiology of enuresis: a large number of children at risk of low regard. Italian journal of pediatrics. 2020 Dec;46:1-5.
- 104. Sureshkumar P, Jones M, Caldwell PHY, Craig JC. Risk factors for nocturnal enuresis in school-age children. J Urol. 2009;182(6):2893–9.
- Qing WW, Jian GW, Dong KS, Su J, Qing HZ, Liu K, et al. Bed-wetting in Chinese children: epidemiology and predictive factors. Neurourol Urodyn. 2007;26(4):512–7.
- 106. Rona RJ, Li L, Chinn S. Determinants of nocturnal enuresis in England and Scotland in the '90s. Dev Med Child Neurol. 1997;39(10):677–81.
- Gupta R, Goel D, Kandpal SD, Mittal N, Dhyani M, Mittal M. Prevalence of sleep disorders among primary school children. Indian J Pediatr. 2016;83(11):1232–6.

- Goweda R, 3\* HB, Benjabi W, Kalantan R, Kalantan R, Badr H. Nocturnal enuresis among children: prevalence and risk factors. 2020. www.discoveryjournals. org.
- Ahmed SMES, Shedeed SAS, Morsy RAA, Said HS. Frequency and risk factors of nocturnal enuresis among primary school children in Sharkia Governorate. Egypt J Hosp Med. 2022;88(1):3470–6.
- 110. Butler RJ, Golding J, Northstone K. Nocturnal enuresis at 7.5 years old: prevalence and analysis of clinical signs. BJU Int. 2005;96(3):404–10.
- 111. Mattsson S. Urinary incontinence and nocturia in healthy schoolchildren. Acta Paediatr Int J Paediatr. 1994;83(9):950–4.
- 112. Lee SD, Sohn DW, Lee JZ, Park NC, Chung MK. An epidemiological study of enuresis in Korean children. BJU Int. 2000;85(7):869–73.
- 113. AlZahrani S. Nocturnal enuresis and its treatment among primary-school children in Taif, KSA. Int J Res Med Sci. 2014;2(1):91.
- Arena S, Patricolo M. Primary monosymptomatic nocturnal enuresis and associated factors in a referral continence clinic of Abu Dhabi. Pediatr Med e Chir. 2017;39(2):36–8.
- 115. Ferrara P, Franceschini G, Bianchi Di Castelbianco F, Bombace R, Villani A, Corsello G. Epidemiology of enuresis: a large number of children at risk of low regard. Italian journal of pediatrics. 2020 Dec;46:1-5.
- Özkan S, Durukan E, Iseri E, Gürocak S, Maral I, Bumin MA. Prevalence and risk factors of monosymptomatic nocturnal enuresis in Turkish children. Indian J Urol. 2010;26(2):200–5.
- 117. Fergusson DM, Horwood LJ, Shannon FT. Secondary enuresis in a birth cohort of New Zealand children. Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol. 1990;4(1):53–63.
- Aydin S, Sanli A, Celebi O, Tasdemir O, Paksoy M, Eken M, et al. Prevalence of adenoid hypertrophy and nocturnal enuresis in primary school children in Istanbul, Turkey. Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol. 2008;72(5):665–8.
- Uğuralp S, Karaoğlu L, Karaman A, Demircan M, Yakinci C. Frequency of enuresis, constipation and enuresis association with constipation in a group of school children aged 5–9 years in Malatya, Turkey. Turkish J Med Sci. 2003;33(5):315–20.
- 120. Abdul-Rahman S, Hussain R, Medicine SAR. Prevalence of bedwetting for children in Mosul City. Jordan Med J. 2009;43(1):44–50.
- 121. Srivastava S, Srivastava KL, Shingla S. Prevalence of monosymptomatic nocturnal enuresis and its correlates in school going children of Lucknow. Indian J Pediatr. 2013;80(6):488–91.
- 122. Hanafin S. Sociodemographic factors associated with nocturnal enuresis. Br J Nurs. 1998;7(7):403–8.
- 123. Shreeram S, He JP, Kalaydjian A, Brothers S, Merikangas KR. Prevalence of enuresis and its association with attention-deficit/ hyperactivity disorder among U.S. Children: results from a nationally representative study. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2009;48(1):35–41.
- 124. Mejias SG, Ramphul K. Nocturnal enuresis in children from Santo Domingo, Dominican Republic: a questionnaire study of prevalence and risk factors. BMJ Paediatr Open. 2018 Aug 30;2(1):e000311. doi: 10.1136/bmjpo-2018-000311. PMID: 30234177; PMCID: PMC6135422.
- 125. Pandey S, Oza H, Shah H, Vankar G. Rate and risk factors of nocturnal enuresis in school going children. Ind Psychiatry J. 2019;28(2):306.
- 126. Warner TC, Baandrup U, Jacobsen R, Bøggild H, Aunsholt Østergaard PS, Hagstrøm S. Prevalence of nocturia and fecal and urinary incontinence and the association to childhood obesity: a study of 6803 Danish school children. J Pediatr Urol. 2019;15(3):225.e1–225.e8.
- 127. CHER TW, LIN GJ. Prevalence of nocturnal enuresis and associated familial factors in primary school children in Taiwan. J Urol. 2002;168(3):1142–6.
- Nnubia UI, Umennuihe CL, Nwauzoije EJ, Okeke MM, Prevalence. Perceived risk factors and effects of enuresis among school-age children in Nsukka Local Government Area, Enugu State, Nigeria. Int J Home Econ Hosp Allied Res. 2024;3(1):202–18.
- 129. Wang XZ, Wen YB, Shang XP, Wang YH, Li YW, Li TF, et al. The influence of delay elimination communication on the prevalence of primary nocturnal enuresis—a survey from Mainland China. Neurourol Urodyn. 2019;38(5):1423–9.
- Liu X, Sun Z, Uchiyama M, Li Y, Okawa M. Attaining nocturnal urinary control, nocturnal enuresis, and behavioral problems in Chinese children aged 6 through 16 years. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2000;39(12):1557–64.
- 131. Kanaheswari Y. Epidemiology of childhood nocturnal enuresis in Malaysia. Journal of paediatrics and child health. 2003 Mar;39(2):118-23.
- Doganer Y, Aydogan U, Ongel K, Sari O, Koc B, Saglam K. The prevalence and sociodemographic risk factors of enuresis nocturna among elementary school-age children. J Fam Med Prim Care. 2015;4(1):39.

- 133. Michael BW, Zewde F, Amare T. Associated factors of primary enuresis among children and adolescents in Amhara Region, Northwest, Ethiopia. 2018;6(1).
- 134. Mohammadpour A, Basiri Moghaddam M, Jani S, Haidarpour S. Survey of enuresis frequency and its associated factors among school children. Horizon Med Sci. 2012;18:37–44.
- 135. EmamGhoraishy F. Evaluation of prevalence of enuresis in school age children in Jahrom and related factors. Jmj. 2004;1:6–9.
- Hakim A, Kompani F, Bahadoram M. Factor's affecting nocturnal enuresis amongst schoolaged children: brief report. Tehran Univ Med J. 2015;73:60–4.
  Charles D, Kause G, Caracal F, Markan D, Shakara J, Caracada F, Markara J, Caracada F, Markarada F, Markara J, Caracada F, Markara J, Caracada F, Markara J, Carac
- 137. Shafi Pour Z, Yousef Gomrokchi M, Paryad E, Atrkar-Roshan Z. Parasomnia in the students of primary schools. J Holist Nurs Midwifery. 2014;24:46–52.
- Ranjbar kochaksaraei F, Nabdel Y, Fakhari A, Dadashzadeh H. The prevalence of psychiatric disorders in children and adolescents in the Northwest of Tabriz. Med J Tabriz Univ Med Sci. 2003;73:56–60.
- 139. Hashem M, Morteza A, Mohammad K, Ahmad-Ali N. Prevalence of nocturnal enuresis in school aged children: the role of personal and parents related socio-economic and educational factors. Iran J Pediatr. 2013;23:59–64.
- 140. AjeliCABP M, Prevalence of nocturnal enuresis and its associated factors in primary school, children of Fallujah IN. 2018. Int J Adv Res. 2019;7(2):890–5. h

ttp://www.journalijar.com/article/26763/prevalence--of-nocturnal-enuresis-a nd-its-associated-factors-in-primary--school-children-of-fallujah-in-2018/

- 141. Eneh CI, Okafor HU, Ikefuna AN, Uwaezuoke SN. Nocturnal enuresis: prevalence and risk factors among school-aged children with sickle-cell anaemia in a South-east Nigerian city. Italian Journal of Pediatrics. 2015 Dec;41:1-6.
- 142. Salih AA. Nocturnal enuresis:prevalence of and associated factors.a sample children in Bahdad. Middle East J Fam Med. 2011;10(5).
- 143. Rittig S, The genetics of enuresis: a review Alexander. Int Enuresis Res Cent Workshop. 2001;166(December):2438–43.
- Wang QW, Wen JG, Zhu QH, Zhang GX, Yang K, Wang Y, et al. The effect of familial aggregation on the children. Prim Nocturnal Enuresis. 2009;426(November 2008):423–6.
- 145. Dunn J, Plomin R. Separate lives: why siblings are so different. Basic Books; 1990.

## **Publisher's note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.